

# second order propositional logic

---

logical verification

week 11

2004 11 24

## the course

---

1st order **propositional** logic  $\leftrightarrow$  simple type theory

$\lambda \rightarrow$

1st order **predicate** logic  $\leftrightarrow$  type theory with **dependent types**

$\lambda P$

**2nd order** propositional logic  $\leftrightarrow$  **polymorphic** type theory

$\lambda 2$

## 2nd order propositional logic

propositional logic

---

$a b c \dots$

$A \rightarrow B$

$\perp$

$\top$

$\neg A$

$A \wedge B$

$A \vee B$

## predicate logic

---

$x y z \dots$

$a(\dots) b(\dots) c(\dots) \dots$

$f(\dots) g(\dots) h(\dots) \dots$

$A \rightarrow B$

$\perp$

$\top$

$\neg A$

$A \wedge B$

$A \vee B$

$\forall x . A$

$\exists x . A$

## second order propositional logic

---

$a b c \dots$

$A \rightarrow B$

$\perp$

$\top$

$\neg A$

$A \wedge B$

$A \vee B$

$\forall a. A$

$\exists a. A$

## example

---

$$a \rightarrow a$$

$$\forall a. a \rightarrow a$$

if it's tuesday, then it's tuesday

for every proposition, that proposition implies itself

## the rules

---

introduction rules

$I[x] \rightarrow$

$I \top$

$I[x] \neg$

$I \wedge$

$I l \vee \quad I r \vee$

$I \forall$

$I \exists$

elimination rules

$E \rightarrow$

$E \perp$

$E \neg$

$E l \wedge \quad E r \wedge$

$E \vee$

$E \forall$

$E \exists$

## propositional logic: rules for implication

---

implication introduction

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} [A^x] \\ \vdots \\ B \end{array}}{A \rightarrow B} \quad I[x] \rightarrow$$

implication elimination

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ A \rightarrow B \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ A \end{array}}{B} \quad E \rightarrow$$



## propositional logic: rules for falsum and truth

---

falsum elimination

$$\frac{\vdots}{\perp} E\perp$$

truth introduction

$$\frac{}{\top} I\top$$

## propositional logic: rules for conjunction

---

conjunction introduction

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ A \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ B \end{array}}{A \wedge B} \quad I_{\wedge}$$

conjunction elimination

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ A \wedge B \end{array}}{A} \quad E_{l\wedge} \quad \frac{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ A \wedge B \end{array}}{B} \quad E_{r\wedge}$$

## propositional logic: rules for disjunction

---

disjunction introduction

$$\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \hline A \\ \hline A \vee B \end{array} \text{ } I\vee \qquad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \hline B \\ \hline A \vee B \end{array} \text{ } I\vee$$

disjunction elimination

$$\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \hline A \vee B \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ A \rightarrow C \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ B \rightarrow C \end{array} \qquad \text{ } EV \\ \hline C$$

## 2nd order propositional logic: rules for universal quantification

---

universal quantification introduction

$$\frac{\vdots}{\frac{A}{\forall a. A} \text{ } I\forall}$$

**variable condition:**  $a$  not a free variable in any open assumption

universal quantification elimination

$$\frac{\vdots}{\frac{\forall a. A}{A[a := B]} \text{ } E\forall}$$

## 2nd order propositional logic: rules for existential quantification

---

existential quantifier introduction

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ A[a := B] \end{array}}{\exists a. A} \quad I\exists$$

existential quantifier elimination

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \exists a. A \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \forall a. (A \rightarrow B) \end{array}}{B} \quad E\exists$$

**variable condition:**  $a$  not a free variable in  $B$

## variable conditions

---

- for rule  $I\forall$

**check:**

variable does not occur in **any of the available assumptions**

- for rule  $E\exists$

**check:**

variable does not occur in **the conclusion**

## examples

### example 1

---

$$(\forall b. b) \rightarrow a$$

## example 2

---

$$a \rightarrow \forall b. ((a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b)$$



## example 3

---

$$(\exists b. a) \rightarrow a$$

## example 4

---

$$\exists b.((a \rightarrow b) \vee (b \rightarrow a))$$

## example 5

---

$$\forall a. \forall b. ((a \rightarrow b) \vee (b \rightarrow a))$$

this needs classical logic

$$\forall a. (a \vee \neg a)$$

## non-example 6

---

$$a \rightarrow \forall a. a$$

## non-example 7

---

$$(\exists a. a) \rightarrow a$$

## higher order logic

### the 'order' of a variable

---

first order      object

second order    set of objects

predicate on objects

function from objects to objects

third order     set of second order objects

predicate on predicates on objects

function from second order objects to ...

etc.

## example from 2nd order predicate logic

---

induction principle for natural numbers

$$\forall a. (a(0) \rightarrow (\forall m. a(m) \rightarrow a(S(m)))) \rightarrow \forall n. a(n)$$

$m$  1st order variable

$n$  1st order variable

$0$  1st order constant

$a$  2nd order variable

$S$  2nd order constant

## only predicates without arguments

---

quantify over predicates → **2nd order** predicate logic

... the same **without terms** → 2nd order **propositional** logic



## impredicative encoding of inductive types

### the connectives in Coq

---

$\rightarrow$  hard-wired into the type theory

$\forall$  hard-wired into the type theory

$\perp$  inductive type

$\wedge$  inductive type

$\vee$  inductive type

$\exists$  inductive type

## inductive definition of False

---

Inductive **False** : Prop :=

.

**False\_ind** :  $\forall a. \perp \rightarrow a$

the constructors are the introduction rules

the induction principle is the elimination rule

## inductive definition of and

---

Inductive **and** ( $a\ b : \text{Prop}$ ) :  $\text{Prop} :=$

**conj** :  $a \rightarrow b \rightarrow a \wedge b$  .

**and\_ind** :  $\forall a\ b\ c. (a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c) \rightarrow (a \wedge b) \rightarrow c$

the constructor is the introduction rule

the induction principle gives the elimination rules

## alternative version of conjunction elimination

---

conjunction elimination: alternative version

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ A \wedge B \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \end{array}}{C} \quad E\wedge$$

conjunction elimination: normal version

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ A \wedge B \end{array}}{A} \quad E\ell\wedge \quad \frac{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ A \wedge B \end{array}}{B} \quad E\text{r}\wedge$$

## inductive definition of or

---

Inductive **or** ( $a\ b : \text{Prop}$ ) : Prop :=

**or\_introl** :  $a \rightarrow a \vee b$

| **or\_intror** :  $b \rightarrow a \vee b$  .

**or\_ind** :  $\forall a\ b\ c. (a \rightarrow c) \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c) \rightarrow (a \vee b) \rightarrow c$

the constructors are the introduction rules

the induction principle is the elimination rule

## impredicative definition of False

---

$$\perp := \forall a. a$$

induction principle next to impredicative definition

$$\forall a. \perp \rightarrow a$$

$$\forall a. \quad a$$

## impredicative definition of and

---

$$a \wedge b \quad := \quad \forall c. (a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c) \rightarrow c$$

induction principle next to impredicative definition

$$\begin{aligned} \forall a b. \forall c. (a \wedge b) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c) \rightarrow c \\ \forall c. \quad (a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c) \rightarrow c \end{aligned}$$

## impredicative definition of or

---

$$a \vee b \quad := \quad \forall c. (a \rightarrow c) \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c) \rightarrow c$$

induction principle next to impredicative definition

$$\begin{aligned} \forall a b. \forall c. (a \vee b) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow c) \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c) \rightarrow c \\ \forall c. \quad (a \rightarrow c) \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c) \rightarrow c \end{aligned}$$



## impredicative definitions for other inductive types

impredicative definition of the booleans

---

$$\forall a. a \rightarrow a \rightarrow a$$

## impredicative definition of the natural numbers

---

$$\forall a. a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow a) \rightarrow a$$

## why have inductive types as primitive then?

---

- one can prove less equalities
- one gets weaker induction principles
- **some** people don't like impredicativity