



Privacy Seminar

Course organisation

Jaap-Henk Hoepman

iHub
Radboud University
Karlstad University

jhh@cs.ru.nl // www.cs.ru.nl/~jhh // blog.xot.nl

@xot@someone.elses.computer // [@xotoxot.bsky.social](https://xotoxot.bsky.social)





Dan Perjovschi, 2007



Organisation

■ Teachers

- Jaap-Henk Hoepman (jhh@cs.ru.nl); Erasmus 19.20
- Christine Utz (christine.utz@ru.nl);

■ Brightspace hardly used

- Website: <https://www.cs.ru.nl/~jhh/secsem.html>
- Wiki: <http://wiki.science.ru.nl/privacy/>

Seminar

■ Seminar

- Student lecture
- Student paper

■ Grade = weighted average

- But only if all grades at least 5.5
- If not, lowest grade is final grade!

■ Working in groups

- 3 (or 2) people

■ Attendance required

- We start 15:30 sharp!

■ Lecture rooms (check the online roster!)

- EOS N 01.180 until March 12 (with some exceptions!)
- EOS N 01.180 from April 9 onwards.

Course schedule

Date	Time	Topic	Deadline
29-1	15:30	(no lecture due to illness)	
5-2	15:30	Course organisation and Privacy: an overview, by Jaap-Henk Hoepman	
12-2	15:30	Privacy: an overview (continued)	
19-2	15:30	Writing and Speaking and Privacy by design, by Jaap-Henk Hoepman.	
26-2	15:30	Usable privacy, by Christine Utz	
5-3	15:30		
12-3	15:30		
19-3		(no lecture)	
26-3		(no lecture)	
2-4		(no lecture)	
9-4	15:30		skeleton
16-4	15:30		
23-4	15:30		
30-4		(no lecture)	
7-5	15:30		
14-5		(no lecture)	
21-5	15:30		
28-5	15:30		
4-6	15:30		final paper

Topics (first come first serve)

■ Privacy in databases

- How to provide (controlled) access to personal data stored in databases, without immediately threatening the privacy of the people involved, using mechanisms like differential privacy or statistical disclosure control.

■ Privacy friendly search

- How to hide the query (i.e. what is searched for) from the party hosting the database.

■ Searching in encrypted databases

- How to also hide the underlying data in the database from the party hosting the database.



■ Polymorphic encryption

- How to protect privacy in e.g. health care where data must be made conditionally accessible to certain care providers while staying encrypted in general.

■ Privacy friendly identity management

- How to use e.g. attribute based credentials or other claims based approaches to make identity management more privacy friendly.

■ Privacy friendly revocation of credentials

- How to (efficiently) revoke anonymous credentials. I.e. how to revoke a particular credential, even though individual credentials cannot be traced by definition



■ **Revocable privacy**

- How to guarantee privacy while also guaranteeing that all users of a system abide by some predetermined rules, i.e. how to design systems that are both privacy friendly and secure.

■ **Privacy friendly location based services**

- How to provide a service that depends on the user's current location, without revealing the actual, exact location?



■ Privacy in asynchronous messaging

- How to establish contact anonymously, and how to subsequently exchange messages in an unlinkable fashion that prevents the service provider to learn who is communicating with who.

■ Anonymous cryptocurrencies

- How to make Bitcoin like cryptocurrencies privacy friendly.

■ Secure multiparty computation

- How to jointly compute the output of a function (e.g. some aggregate statistic) without revealing the individual inputs.

■ Obfuscation

- Can obfuscation and other methods of 'resistance' help to protect your privacy?

Research

- **analyse a particular practical case**

- what are the privacy issues (from a societal and legal perspective) and how are they dealt with

- **give a precise and concise problem description**

- in technical terms: define your model; your assumptions

- **investigate possible PETs that apply**

- summarise your analysis

- **pick one and solve the problem (involves a protocol)**

- describe this in sufficient detail!

- **(informally) prove or argue correctness**



Student lecture

■ Goal of lecture

- to inform other students about your research

■ Important

- make lecture interactive
- add additional material

■ Discuss draft

- Thursday 12:30-13:15 the week before, room Erasmus 19.20
- mail slides etc. **at least one day before.**

Student lecture: grading

Content

■ Argumentation and Depth

- A solid basis and backing of all statements.

■ Intelligibility

- Quality of explanation; take audience into account.

■ Comprehensiveness

- Cover all important aspects (incl. legal/societal); separates important/secondary issues.

■ Structure

- Logical ordering; relationship between the topics.

Form and performance

■ Attractiveness

- Captivating audience, supporting materials.

■ Delivery

- Engagement and contact with the audience.

■ Interaction

- Level of interactivity, the way you respond to questions.



Grading

- Possible criteria scores

- -: worse than average
- 0: average (typical score)
- +: better than average

- All 0 on criteria then 7 is the grade



Student paper

■ Goal

- Report on research
- Express own perspective and opinion on PETs

■ Format

- Roughly 12-14 pages (depending on group size, excluding references)
 - *A4, reasonable margins, 10-11 pt font*

■ Beware

- Collect your own literature as well
- Use input obtained during presentation in class

Student paper: AI Tools

■ Meta goal

- Learn to write a scientific report
- Develop your own style of writing
- See writing as a tool that helps you think and understand the problem space and the possible solutions

■ Refrain from using AI tools

- Verbatim copies of AI generated text are considered fraudulent behaviour
- Use sparingly to correct style: not everyone should write like a boring US marketing copywriter



Student paper

■ Typical structure

- Context
- Problem description
 - *Including legal/social analysis*
- Proposed solution
- Technical analysis
- Conclusions

Student paper: planning

■ Average timespan

- Literature study: 2 weeks
- Perform research: 2 weeks
- Write skeleton: 1 week
- Write final paper: 3 weeks

■ Deadlines

- April 9: Skeleton
- June 4: Final paper

■ So start as soon as you can!

Student paper: grading

Content

■ (Technical) quality

- Understanding of the (technical) issues. Correctness of all (technical) statements.

■ Analysis

- Proper argumentation, completeness, distinguishing main and secondary points.

■ Quality of references

- Relevance, completeness and originality.

■ Own opinion

Form

■ Style

- Clarity, objectiveness, spelling and grammar.

■ Structure

- Logical structure and proper flow.

■ Attractiveness

- Formatting of paper, including bibliography.



Working in groups

- **Everyone responsible for all output**
 - Review each others work!
- **Work together, not seperately**
- **Plan your work**
- **Equally divide work**
 - And make sure everyone delivers
 - If not: notify me before everything escalates....



Remaining points

- **Contribute to the *wiki***

- http://wiki.privacy.cs.ru.nl/Main_Page

Questions



[Monty Python's Argument Clinic sketch]