

do not take natural language *too* seriously

Freek Wiedijk

Radboud University Nijmegen

Seminar Formal Mathematics

University of Bonn

2007 04 17, 13:00

programming languages

C: `i++;`

Cobol: `ADD 1 TO i.`

HyperTalk:

```
function delimitedSum theList, listDelimiter
  put the itemDelimiter into storedDelim -- save itemDelimiter for restore
  if listDelim is empty then put comma into listDelimiter -- like 'sum'
  else set the itemDelimiter to char 1 of value(listDelimiter) -- UNlike 'sum'
  put 0 into sumOfItems
  repeat with i = 1 to number of items in theList
    add value(item i of theList) to sumOfItems -- try to convert to a number
  end repeat
  set the itemDelimiter to storedDelim -- restore itemDelimiter
  return sumOfItems
end delimitedSum
```

making a programming language looks like natural language is a silly idea

formulas

solutions of $x^3 + px = q$:

modern style:

$$x = \sqrt[3]{\frac{q}{2} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{q}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{p}{3}\right)^3}} - \sqrt[3]{-\frac{q}{2} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{q}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{p}{3}\right)^3}}$$

ancient style (Gerolamo Cardano, *Ars magna*, Nürnberg, 1545):

Cube the third part of the number of unknowns, to which you add the square of half the number of the equation, and take the root of the whole, that is, the square root, which you will use, in the one case adding the half of the number which you just multiplied by itself, in the other case subtracting the same half, and you will have a binomial and apotome respectively; then subtract the cube root of the apotome from the cube root of the binomial, and the remainder from this is the value of the unknown.

Deducito tertiam partem numeri rerum ad cubum, cui addes quadratum dimidij numeri æquationis, & totius accipe radicem, scilicet quadratam, quam feminabis, unijque dimidium numeri quod iam in se duxeras, adijcies, ab altera dimidium idem minues, habebisque Binomium cum sua Apotome, inde detracta r̄ cubica Apotomæ ex r̄ cubica sui Binomij, residuū quod ex hoc relinquitur, est rei estimatio.

formal mathematics

Bertrand's postulate:

HOL Light:

$\text{!}n. \sim(n = 0) \implies \text{?}p. \text{prime } p \wedge n \leq p \wedge p \leq 2 * n$

$\forall n. n \neq 0 \implies \exists p. \text{prime } p \wedge n \leq p \wedge p \leq 2 \cdot n$

Mizar:

for n being Element of NAT st $n \geq 1$ holds

ex p being Prime st $n < p$ & $p \leq 2 * n$

For all n being an element of the natural numbers such that $n \geq 1$ holds that there exists a p being prime such that $n < p$ and $p \leq 2n$.

submarines aren't fishes

The question of whether machines can think is about as relevant as the question of whether submarines can swim.

