

Decidability Variants of Linear Temporal Logic LTL w.r.t. Logical Consecutions

V.V. Rybakov

Department of Computing and Mathematics

Manchester Metropolitan University

Chester Street, Manchester M1 5GD, U.K. V.Rybakov@mmu.ac.uk

We study linear temporal logic LTL in standard language with operations **U** (Untill) and **N** (Next) and its extension by operations **S** (Since) and **N⁻¹** (Previous). $LTL_{\mathbf{N}}^{\mathbf{U}}(\mathcal{N})$ is the logic with **U** and **N** generated by the frame \mathcal{N} of all natural numbers as Kripke/Hintikka structure, $LTL_{\mathbf{N}}^{\mathbf{U}}(\mathcal{Z})$ is the linear logic based on the frame \mathcal{Z} of all integer numbers, and $LTL_{\mathbf{N},\mathbf{N}^{-1}}^{\mathbf{U},\mathbf{S}}(\mathcal{Z})$ is the similar logic which uses **S** and **N⁻¹**. Logical consecution is a structural inference rule $\mathbf{A}_1, \dots, \mathbf{A}_n / \mathbf{B}$ (where \mathbf{A}_i and \mathbf{B} are some formulas in the language of given logic).

Theorem 1. *Linear temporal logic $LTL_{\mathbf{N}}^{\mathbf{U}}(\mathcal{N})$ is decidable w.r.t. admissible logical consecutions.*

Theorem 2. *Linear temporal logics $LTL_{\mathbf{N},\mathbf{N}^{-1}}^{\mathbf{U},\mathbf{S}}(\mathcal{N})$ and $LTL_{\mathbf{N},\mathbf{N}^{-1}}^{\mathbf{U},\mathbf{S}}(\mathcal{Z})$ do not have the finite model property.*

Theorem 3. *There is an algorithm recognizing inference rules admissible in $LTL_{\mathbf{N},\mathbf{N}^{-1}}^{\mathbf{U},\mathbf{S}}(\mathcal{Z})$. So, $LTL_{\mathbf{N},\mathbf{N}^{-1}}^{\mathbf{U},\mathbf{S}}(\mathcal{Z})$ is decidable w.r.t. admissible inference rules, as a consequence, $LTL_{\mathbf{N},\mathbf{N}^{-1}}^{\mathbf{U},\mathbf{S}}(\mathcal{Z})$ itself is decidable.*

These theorems extends results from [1] and [2] to LTL with standard operations **U** (Untill) and **N** (Next) and its extension by operations **S** (Since) and **N⁻¹** (Previous).

References

- [1] Rybakov V.V. Logical Consecutions in Discrete Linear Temporal Logic. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, V.70, No 4 (2005), pp. 1137-1149.
- [2] Rybakov V.V. Logical Consecutions in Intransitive Temporal Linear Logic of Finite Intervals. *Journal of Logic Computation*, Vol. 15 No. 5 (2005) pp. 633 -657.