

Solving Ordinary Differential Equations in Coq

Evgeny Makarov

Joint work with Bas Spitters

Radboud University
Nijmegen

19 November 2012

Outline

- 1 Type Classes on the Example of Approximate Rationals
- 2 Fast Reals
- 3 Picard-Lindelöf Theorem
- 4 Future Work

- 1 Type Classes on the Example of Approximate Rationals
- 2 Fast Reals
- 3 Picard-Lindelöf Theorem
- 4 Future Work

Type Classes

Type classes are parametric record types.

Coq searches for terms of these types automatically during unification.

Two most often type class uses:

- (1) Operational type classes
- (2) Type classes representing mathematical structures

B. Spitters, E. van der Weegen, *Type Classes for Mathematics in Type Theory*, 2011.

Operational Type Classes

```
Coq < Class Plus A := plus: A -> A -> A.
```

```
Coq < Print plus.
```

```
plus = λ (A : CProp) (p : Plus A), p  
      : forall A : Type, Plus A -> A -> A -> A
```

Arguments A, p are implicit and maximally inserted

```
Coq < Instance nat_plus: Plus nat := Peano.plus.
```

```
Coq < Variables x y : nat.
```

```
plus x y = @plus nat nat_plus x y → nat_plus x y →  
Peano.plus x y
```

```
Coq < Infix "+" := plus : mc_scope.
```

Type Classes for Mathematical Structures

```
Class AppRationals AQ {e plus mult zero one inv} '{Apart AQ}
  '{Le AQ} '{Lt AQ}
  {AQtoQ : Cast AQ Q_as_MetricSpace}
  '{!AppInverse AQtoQ} {ZtoAQ : Cast Z AQ}
  '{!AppDiv AQ} '{!AppApprox AQ}
  '{!Abs AQ} '{!Pow AQ N} '{!ShiftL AQ Z}
  '{ $\forall$  x y : AQ, Decision (x = y)}
  '{ $\forall$  x y : AQ, Decision (x  $\leq$  y)} : Prop := {
  aq_ring      := @Ring AQ e plus mult zero one inv ;
  aq_trivial_apart := TrivialApart AQ ;
  aq_order_embed := OrderEmbedding AQtoQ ;
  aq_strict_order_embed := StrictOrderEmbedding AQtoQ ;
  aq_ring_morphism := SemiRing_Morphism AQtoQ ;
  aq_dense_embedding := DenseEmbedding AQtoQ ;
  aq_div :=  $\forall$  x y k, ball (2 ^ k) ('app_div x y k) ('x / 'y) ;
  aq_compress :=  $\forall$  x k, ball (2 ^ k) ('app_approx x k) ('x) ;
  aq_shift := ShiftLSpec AQ Z ( $\ll$ ) ;
  aq_nat_pow := NatPowSpec AQ N (^) ;
  aq_ints_mor := SemiRing_Morphism ZtoAQ
}.

```

Instances of Approximate Rationals

```
Record Dyadic Z := dyadic { mant: Z; expo: Z }.
```

Represents $\text{mant} \cdot 2^{\text{expo}}$

```
Instance dy_mult: Mult Dyadic :=  
  λ x y, dyadic (mant x * mant y) (expo x + expo y).
```

```
Instance : AppRationals (Dyadic bigZ).
```

```
Instance : AppRationals bigQ.
```

```
Instance : AppRationals Q.
```

Outline

- 1 Type Classes on the Example of Approximate Rationals
- 2 Fast Reals
- 3 Picard-Lindelöf Theorem
- 4 Future Work

Metric Spaces

Let (X, d) where $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a metric space.

Let $B_r(x, y)$ denote $d(x, y) \leq r$.

A function $f : \mathbb{Q}^+ \rightarrow X$ is called **regular** if

$$\forall \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 : \mathbb{Q}^+, B(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)(f\varepsilon_1)(f\varepsilon_2).$$

The **completion** $\mathfrak{C}X$ of X is the set of regular functions.

Let X and Y be metric spaces. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called **uniformly continuous** with modulus μ if

$$\forall \varepsilon : \mathbb{Q}^+ \forall x_1 x_2 : X, B(\mu\varepsilon)x_1x_2 \rightarrow B\varepsilon(fx_1)(fx_2).$$

If $x_1, x_2 : \mathfrak{C}X$, let $B_{\mathfrak{C}X\varepsilon}x_1x_2 := \forall \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 : \mathbb{Q}^+, B_X(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)(x_1\varepsilon_1)(x_2\varepsilon_2)$

Metric spaces with uniformly continuous functions form a category.

Completion forms a monad in the category of *prelength spaces* and uniformly continuous functions.

R. O'Connor, extending work by E. Bishop.

Completion as a Monad

$\text{unit} : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{C} X := \lambda x \lambda \varepsilon, x$

$\text{join} : \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{C} X \rightarrow \mathfrak{C} X := \lambda x \lambda \varepsilon, x(\varepsilon/2)(\varepsilon/2)$

$\text{map} : (X \rightarrow Y) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{C} X \rightarrow \mathfrak{C} Y) := \lambda f \lambda x, f \circ x \circ \mu_f$

$\text{bind} : (X \rightarrow \mathfrak{C} Y) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{C} X \rightarrow \mathfrak{C} Y) := \text{join} \circ \text{map}$

Define functions $\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$; lift to $\mathfrak{C} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C} \mathbb{Q}$.

Efficient Reals

In CoRN, `MetricSpace` is a regular Record, not a type class.

```
Coq < Check Complete.
```

```
Complete : MetricSpace -> MetricSpace
```

```
Coq < Check Q_as_MetricSpace.
```

```
Q_as_MetricSpace : MetricSpace
```

```
Coq < Check AQ_as_MetricSpace.
```

```
AQ_as_MetricSpace :
```

```
  ∀ (AQ : Type) ..., AppRationals AQ -> MetricSpace
```

```
Coq < Definition CR := Complete Q_as_MetricSpace.
```

```
Coq < Definition AR := Complete AQ_as_MetricSpace.
```

AR is an instance of Zero, Plus, Le, Field, FullPseudoSemiRingOrder, etc., from the MathClasses library.

Outline

- 1 Type Classes on the Example of Approximate Rationals
- 2 Fast Reals
- 3 Picard-Lindelöf Theorem
- 4 Future Work

Banach Fixpoint Theorem

Theorem

Let X be a complete metric space and let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a contraction, i.e., $\forall x_1 x_2 : X \forall r : \mathbb{Q}, B(r)x_1 x_2 \rightarrow B(qr)(fx_1)(fx_2)$ for some $0 \leq q < 1$. Then f has a unique fixpoint.

Already implemented

Uses `MetricSpaceClass`, `CompleteMetricSpaceClass`,
`IsUniformlyContinuous`, `IsContraction` type classes

Based on the unfinished work by E. van der Weegen and B. Spitters.

Picard-Lindelöf Theorem

Theorem

Consider the initial value problem

$$y'(x) = F(x, y(x)), y(x_0) = y_0 \quad (1)$$

Suppose that F is continuous in x and Lipschitz continuous in y , i.e., $\forall x_1 x_2 : X \forall r : \mathbb{Q}, B_r x_1 x_2 \rightarrow B(Lr)(f x_1)(f x_2)$ for some $0 \leq L$. Then there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the problem has a unique solution on $[x_0 - \varepsilon, x_0 + \varepsilon]$.

(1) is equivalent to

$$y(x) = y(x_0) + \int_{x_0}^x F(t, y(t)) dt \quad (2)$$

Picard-Lindelöf Theorem

$$y(x) = y(x_0) + \int_{x_0}^x F(t, y(t)) dt \quad (2)$$

Define

$$(Tf)(x) = y_0 + \int_{x_0}^x F(t, f(t)) dt$$

$$f_0(x) = y_0$$

$$f_{n+1} = Tf_n$$

Then for every $\Delta y > 0$ there exists a $\Delta x > 0$ such that T is a contraction on (locally) uniformly continuous functions that map $[x_0 - \Delta x, x_0 + \Delta x]$ to $[y_0 - \Delta y, y_0 + \Delta y]$. By the Banach fixpoint theorem, T has a fixpoint.

Initial implementation uses CR (inefficient), existing code

Integral

Following M. Bridger, *Real Analysis: A Constructive Approach*.

```
Class Integral (f: Q -> CR) :=  
  integrate: forall (from: Q) (w: QnonNeg), CR.
```

Notation " \int " := integrate.

```
Class Integrable '{!Integral f}: Prop := {  
  integral_additive:  
    forall (a: Q) b c,  $\int$  f a b +  $\int$  f (a + b) c ==  $\int$  f a (b + c);  
  
  integral_bounded_prim: forall (from: Q) (width: Qpos) (mid: Q)  
    (forall x, from <= x <= from + width -> ball r (f x) mid) ->  
    ball (width * r) ( $\int$  f from width) (width * mid);  
  
  integral_wd :>  
    Proper (Qeq ==> QnonNeg.eq ==> @st_eq CRasCSetoid) ( $\int$  f)  
}.
```

Earlier (abstract, but slower) implementation of integral by R. O'Connor and B. Spitters.

Complexity

Rectangle rule:

$$\left| \int_a^b f(x) dx - f(a)(b-a) \right| \leq \frac{(b-a)^3}{24} M$$

where $|f''(x)| \leq M$ for $a \leq x \leq b$.

Number of intervals to have the error $\leq \varepsilon$: $\geq \sqrt{\frac{(b-a)^3 M}{24\varepsilon}}$

Simpson's rule:

$$\left| \int_a^b f(x) dx - \frac{b-a}{6} \left(f(a) + 4f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) + f(b) \right) \right| \leq \frac{(b-a)^5}{2880} M$$

where $|f^{(4)}(x)| \leq M$ for $a \leq x \leq b$.

T. Coquand, B. Spitters. *A constructive proof of Simpson's Rule*, 2012

Number of intervals: $\geq \sqrt[4]{\frac{(b-a)^5 M}{2880\varepsilon}}$

The number of points grows exponentially with the number of significant digits.

Outline

- 1 Type Classes on the Example of Approximate Rationals
- 2 Fast Reals
- 3 Picard-Lindelöf Theorem
- 4 Future Work

Future Work

Change the development from CR to AR based on dyadic rationals.

Implement Simpson's integration and prove its error bounds.

Make sure unnecessary precision is not required, i.e., approximations to function values are calculated with optimal precision. E.g.:

$$(x + y)(\varepsilon) = x(\varepsilon/2) + y(\varepsilon/2)$$

Allow a more flexible distribution of ε when the computation cost of the arguments is different.