

**logical verification 2006-2007**  
**exercises week 7**

**Exercise 1.**

- a. Show that  $(B \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow C) \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$  is a tautology.
- b. Give the type derivation in simply typed  $\lambda$ -calculus corresponding to the proof of 1a.

**Exercise 2.**

- a. Show that  $(A \rightarrow A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow A \rightarrow B$  is a tautology.
- b. Give the type derivation in simply typed  $\lambda$ -calculus corresponding to the proof of 2a.

**Exercise 3.**

- a. Show that the formula  $((A \rightarrow B \rightarrow A) \rightarrow B) \rightarrow B$  is a tautology of first-order minimal propositional logic.
- b. Give the type derivation in simply typed  $\lambda$ -calculus corresponding to the proof of 3a.

**Exercise 4.** Replace in the following terms the ?'s by simple types, such that we obtain typable  $\lambda$ -terms.

- a.  $\lambda x:?. \lambda y:?. x$
- b.  $\lambda x:?. \lambda y:?. x y y$
- c.  $\lambda x:?. \lambda y:?. x (x y)$
- d.  $\lambda x:?. \lambda y:?. \lambda z:?. x (y z)$
- e.  $\lambda x:?. \lambda y:?. \lambda z:?. y (\lambda u:?. x)$
- f.  $\lambda x:?. \lambda y:?. \lambda z:?. z ((\lambda u:?. y) x)$

**Exercise 5.**

- a. What is the definition of a detour in a natural deduction proof?
- b. Give a proof of  $A \rightarrow A \rightarrow A$  in first-order minimal propositional logic that contains a detour.
- c. Give the  $\lambda$ -term that corresponds to the proof of 5b.  
Which part corresponds to the detour?  
Give the normal form of the  $\lambda$ -term.

**Exercise 6.** Give some different closed normal forms of type  $(A \rightarrow A) \rightarrow A \rightarrow A$ .

**Exercise 7.** Show that Peirce's Law implies double negation. That is, show that  $((A \rightarrow \perp) \rightarrow A) \rightarrow A \rightarrow \neg\neg A \rightarrow A$  is a tautology.

**Exercise 8.**

- a. Consider the definition of `natlist` for lists of natural numbers:

```
Inductive natlist : Set :=
| nil : natlist
| cons : nat -> natlist -> natlist.
```

Give the type of `natlist_ind`, which is used to give proofs by induction.

- a. Give the definition of an inductive predicate `last_element` such that `(last n l)` means that `n` is the last element of `l`.

**Exercise 9.**

- a. Give the inductive definition of the datatype `natbintree` of binary trees with unlabeled nodes and natural numbers at the leafs.
- b. The Coq function for appending two lists is defined as follows:

```
Fixpoint append (l k : natlist) {struct l} : natlist :=
  match l with
  | nil => k
  | cons n l' => cons n (append l' k)
  end.
```

In what argument is the recursion? Why is the recursive call (intuitively) safe?

- c. Give the definition of a recursive function `flatten : natbintree -> natlist` which flattens a tree into a list that contains the nodes from left to right. You may use `append`.

**Exercise 10.** What is the type of the function that can be extracted from the proof of the following theorem:

```
forall l : natlist,
{ l' : natlist | Permutation l l' /\ Sorted l' }.
```

**Exercise 11.**

- a. Give an example of a proof that is incorrect because the side-condition for the introduction rule for  $\forall$  is violated.
- b. The rule for elimination of an existential quantifier is:

$$\frac{\exists x. A \quad \forall x. (A \rightarrow B)}{B} E\exists$$

What is the side-condition for this rule?

**Exercise 12.** Show that the following formulas are tautologies of first-order intuitionistic predicate logic.

- a.  $(\forall x. \neg P(x)) \rightarrow \neg(\exists x. P(x))$   
*Hint: use the existential quantification elimination rule as early as possible.*
- b.  $\forall x. (P(x) \rightarrow \neg \forall y. (\neg P(y)))$ .
- c.  $(\forall x. P(x)) \rightarrow \neg \exists y. \neg P(y)$ .
- d.  $((\exists x. P(x)) \rightarrow (\forall y. Q(y))) \rightarrow \forall z. (P(z) \rightarrow Q(z))$ .