

PROOF. (i) By lemma 6.5.3.

(ii) As ever.  $\square$

Note that  $\mathbf{Y}^1 \rightarrow \Theta$ .

6.5.6. DEFINITION (Gödel numbering). (i) It is easy to define, by standard techniques, an effective one-one map  $\# : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ . In the rest of this book  $\#$  denotes one such map.

(ii)  $\#M$  is called the Gödel number of  $M$ .

The following convention will be used throughout:

6.5.7. CONVENTION. Notions about sets of integers, sequences of integers, etc., are translated to terms via the mapping  $\#$ .

EXAMPLES. (i) A set  $\mathcal{Q} \subset \Lambda$  is recursive iff  $\#\mathcal{Q} = \{\#M \mid M \in \mathcal{Q}\}$  is recursive.

(ii) A sequence of terms  $M_0, M_1, \dots$  is recursive iff  $\lambda n. \#M_n$  is a recursive sequence.

In fact the convention was already used in the proof of theorem 6.3.13 where it was shown that  $\{(M, N) \mid \lambda \vdash M = N\}$  is an r.e. set.

6.5.8. NOTATION.  $\ulcorner M \urcorner \equiv \ulcorner \#M \urcorner$ .

There is little danger of confusion between the  $\ulcorner \urcorner$  of definitions 6.2.9 and 6.5.8. The first is defined on  $\mathbb{N}$ , the second on  $\Lambda$ .

6.5.9. SECOND FIXED POINT THEOREM.

$$\forall F \exists X \quad F \ulcorner X \urcorner = X.$$

PROOF. By the effectiveness of  $\#$ , there are recursive functions  $\mathbf{Ap}$  and  $\mathbf{Num}$  such that  $\mathbf{Ap}(\#M, \#N) = \#MN$  and  $\mathbf{Num}(n) = \# \ulcorner n \urcorner$ . Let  $\mathbf{Ap}$  and  $\mathbf{Num}$  be  $\lambda$ -defined by  $\mathbf{Ap}$  and  $\mathbf{Num} \in \Lambda^0$ . Then

$$\mathbf{Ap} \ulcorner M \urcorner \ulcorner N \urcorner = \ulcorner MN \urcorner, \quad \mathbf{Num} \ulcorner n \urcorner = \ulcorner \ulcorner n \urcorner \urcorner;$$

hence in particular

$$\mathbf{Num} \ulcorner M \urcorner = \ulcorner \ulcorner M \urcorner \urcorner.$$

Now define

$$W \equiv \lambda x. F(\mathbf{Ap} x(\mathbf{Num} x)), \quad X \equiv W \ulcorner W \urcorner.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} X &\equiv W \ulcorner W \urcorner = F(\mathbf{Ap} \ulcorner W \urcorner (\mathbf{Num} \ulcorner W \urcorner)) \\ &= F \ulcorner W \urcorner \ulcorner W \urcorner \urcorner \equiv F \ulcorner X \urcorner. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

As for ordinary fixed points one has  $X \rightarrow F \ulcorner X \urcorner$ . Moreover the construction is uniform in the following sense.

6.5.10. COROLLARY.  $\exists \Theta_2 \in \Lambda^0 \forall F \in \Lambda^0 \Theta_2 \ulcorner F \urcorner \rightarrow F \ulcorner \Theta_2 \ulcorner F \urcorner \urcorner$ .

PROOF. See exercise 8.5.6.  $\square$

## 6.6. Undecidability results

6.6.1. DEFINITION Let  $\mathcal{Q} \subset \Lambda$ .

- (i)  $\mathcal{Q}$  is *non-trivial* if  $\mathcal{Q} \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\mathcal{Q} \neq \Lambda$ .
- (ii)  $\mathcal{Q}$  is *closed under equality* if

$$\forall M, N \in \Lambda [ M \in \mathcal{Q} \text{ and } M = N \Rightarrow N \in \mathcal{Q} ].$$

Recall that two sets of integers  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  are recursively separable iff there exists a recursive set  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{C} = \emptyset$ .

The following theorem is due to Scott [1963]. It is related to Rice's theorem in recursion theory, cf. Rogers [1967], p. 324.

6.6.2. THEOREM. (i) Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subset \Lambda$  be non empty sets closed under equality. Then  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are not recursively separable.

(ii) Let  $\mathcal{A} \subset \Lambda$  be a non-trivial set closed under equality. Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is not recursive.

PROOF. (i) Let  $M_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $M_1 \in \mathcal{B}$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{C}$  is a recursive set such that  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{C}$ ,  $\mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{C} = \emptyset$ . The characteristic function of  $\mathcal{C}$  is recursive and hence  $\lambda$ -defined by some  $F$ . Hence

$$M \in \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow F \ulcorner M \urcorner = \ulcorner 0 \urcorner,$$

$$M \notin \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow F \ulcorner M \urcorner = \ulcorner 1 \urcorner.$$

Now define

$$G \equiv \lambda x. \text{ If } \mathbf{Zero}(Fx) \text{ then } M_1 \text{ else } M_0.$$

Then

$$M \in \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow G \ulcorner M \urcorner = M_1,$$

$$M \notin \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow G \ulcorner M \urcorner = M_0.$$

By the second fixed point theorem,  $G \ulcorner X \urcorner = X$  for some  $X$ . But then

$$X \in \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow X = G \ulcorner X \urcorner = M_1 \in \mathfrak{B} \Rightarrow X \notin \mathcal{C},$$

$$X \notin \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow X = G \ulcorner X \urcorner = M_0 \in \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow X \in \mathcal{C},$$

a contradiction.

(ii) If  $\mathcal{Q} \subset \Lambda$  is a nontrivial set closed under equality, then (i) applies to  $\mathcal{Q}$  and its complement. Hence  $\mathcal{Q}$  cannot be recursive.  $\square$

Theorem 6.6.2 is false for the  $\lambda I$ -calculus. Take  $\mathcal{Q} = \{M \in \Lambda_I \mid FV(M) = \{x\}\}$ . This set is recursive and in the  $\lambda I$ -calculus closed under equality, see lemma 9.1.2(iv). The following relativization of theorem 6.6.2 also holds for the  $\lambda I$ -calculus.

6.6.3. DEFINITION. Let  $\mathcal{Q} \subset \Lambda^0$ .  $\mathcal{Q}$  is *closed under equality of combinators* if

$$\forall M, N \in \Lambda^0 [ M \in \mathcal{Q} \text{ and } M = N \Rightarrow N \in \mathcal{Q} ].$$

6.6.4. COROLLARY. *Theorem 6.6.2 holds for  $\mathcal{Q}, \mathfrak{B} \subset \Lambda^0$  which are closed under equality of combinators.*

PROOF. Same as for theorem 6.6.2.  $\square$

Church [1936] gave one of the first examples of an r.e. set which is not recursive:

6.6.5. THEOREM.  $\{M \mid M \text{ has a nf}\}$  is an r.e. set which is not recursive.

PROOF. The set is r.e. since

$$M \text{ has a nf} \quad \text{iff} \quad \exists N \ N \text{ is in nf and } \lambda \vdash M = N.$$

By theorem 6.6.2 the set is not recursive.  $\square$

A theory  $\mathfrak{T}$  is called *essentially undecidable* iff  $\mathfrak{T}$  is consistent and has no consistent recursive extension. The following was noticed by Grzegorzcyk.

6.6.6. THEOREM. *The  $\lambda$ -calculus (i.e. the theory  $\lambda$ ) is essentially undecidable.*

PROOF. Suppose  $\mathfrak{T}$  is a consistent extension of  $\lambda$ . Then  $\{M \mid \mathfrak{T} \vdash M = I\}$  is closed under equality and nontrivial. Hence by theorem 6.6.2 not recursive. Therefore  $\mathfrak{T}$  is not recursive.  $\square$

### 6.7 Digression: Self-referential sentences and the recursion theorem

To conclude this section it will be shown how the constructions of Gödel's self-referential sentence and the recursion theorem can be interpreted as applications of the fixed point combinator.

Let  $P$  be first-order Peano arithmetic. If  $s$  is a syntactic object of  $P$ , then  $\#s$  is its Gödel number ("code") and  $\ulcorner s \urcorner \equiv \ulcorner \#s \urcorner$  the corresponding numeral in  $P$ .

I. THEOREM (Gödel). *Let  $A(x)$  be a formula of  $P$  with  $FV(A(x)) = \{x\}$ . Then there exists a sentence  $B$  of  $P$  such that*

$$P \vdash B \leftrightarrow A(\ulcorner B \urcorner).$$

( $B$  says: "I have property  $A$ .")

PROOF. Define for  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$

$$n_1 \sim n_2 \text{ iff } \begin{array}{l} \text{for some sentences } A_1, A_2 \text{ of } P \\ n_i = \#A_i \text{ and } P \vdash A_1 \leftrightarrow A_2. \end{array}$$

It is sufficient to show that given  $A(x)$  one has

$$(1) \quad \exists n \quad n \sim \#A(\ulcorner n \urcorner).$$

For then, taking  $B$  such that  $n = \#B$ ,

$$P \vdash B \leftrightarrow A(\ulcorner n \urcorner) = A(\ulcorner B \urcorner).$$

In order to prove (1) make the following abbreviations.

(i) For a variable  $x$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\lambda x. n = \langle \#x, n \rangle,$$

where  $\langle , \rangle$  is a primitive recursive pairing function with inverses  $( )_0$ ,  $( )_1$ .