

# Rocq Assignment for Proving with Computer Assistance

Herman Geuvers

You make the assignment in **couples**; please write clearly both names and student numbers on all documents you hand in.

## Grading

Your assignment will be evaluated on the following points

1. Correctness Rocq: The proofs should all be completed and finished, otherwise you will get at most a 5.
2. Correctness definitions: Do the definitions capture the notions correctly?
3. Effectivity of Rocq definitions and statements: Are the definitions and lemmas well-chosen? Proper break-down in sublemmas? Notation well-chosen?
4. Effectivity of Rocq proofs: concise proofs, proper abstractions.
5. Quality of the report: explanation of problem and definitions, explanation of the main line of the proof.
6. Independency (“zelfstandigheid”): how much help/suggestions were received?
7. Plus features: Remarkable use of tactics or Rocq features; remarkably smart definitions; additional proofs and properties.

There is one **standard assignment**, but you may also choose the alternative one, or you may choose one from the list at

<http://www.cs.ru.nl/~freek/courses/tt-2017/public/huiswerk.pdf>.

The standard assignment is split up in 2 parts:

- In case you finish only part I, you can at most receive a grade of 8.
- In case you finish all proofs for part I (with correct definitions) you will receive at least a 5.5.
- In case you finish both parts I and II you can receive at most a 10 (but then of course all evaluation points should be excellent).

## Standard Assignment Part I: sorting of binary search trees

- We define the inductive type `tree` representing binary trees of natural numbers.

```
Inductive tree : Set :=  
  | leaf : tree  
  | node : tree -> nat -> tree -> tree.
```

- Define a predicate `bst` on `tree` to express that a tree is sorted, i.e. it is a binary search tree (see [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Binary\\_search\\_tree](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Binary_search_tree) for introduction to binary search trees). Experience of the past has shown that it works very well if you define `bst` as a recursive function:

`Fixpoint bst (T : tree) : Prop := ...`

If you are up for a **real** challenge you can try to make this exercise using some variant of self-balancing search trees, see [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Self-balancing\\_binary\\_search\\_tree](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Self-balancing_binary_search_tree); but before you do that make sure you can solve the basic version of this exercise!

- Define a function `insert` that takes a binary search tree and a natural number and inserts the number in the right place in the tree.
- Prove correctness of the `insert` function that is prove that:

`bst t -> bst (insert n t)` (for all `t:tree`, `n:nat`).

- Define a function `sort` that takes an arbitrary tree and sorts it, i.e. it transforms it into a binary search tree. Hint: you can define two auxiliary functions, one that stores the elements of a tree in a list and one that builds a binary search tree from the elements of a list.
- Prove that the result of the `sort` function is always a binary search tree.
- Given the predicate `occurs` expressing that an element belongs to a tree, prove that the sorted version of a tree contains the same elements as the original one, i.e. prove:

`"occurs n t <-> occurs n (sort t)"` (for all `n:nat`, `t:tree`)

## Standard Assignment Part II: the minimum of a binary search tree

- Define a function `treeMin` that will return the value of the minimal node in a tree. You may want to use the function `Min` (minimum function) from `Arith`. Note that every function in Rocq needs to be total and you will need to decide what this function should return applied on an empty tree. To do this, use the `option` type. Check the definition of `option` by doing `Print option`.
- Given the predicate `occurs` expressing that an element belongs to a tree, prove correctness of the `treeMin` function, i.e. prove that:
  - the minimal element belongs to the tree and
  - that the values in all nodes are greater or equal than the minimal value.
- Define a function `leftmost` that given a tree will return a value of its leftmost node.
- Prove that the minimal element of a binary search tree (use the predicate `bst` on `tree`) is its leftmost node.
- Define a function `search` that given a binary search tree will check whether a given natural number occurs in the tree. It should use the fact that the tree is a binary search tree so it should look only on one branch of a tree, instead of on all of its nodes.
- Prove that the `search` function is correct, i.e. prove:

`"bst t -> (occurs n t <-> search n t)"` (for all `n:nat`, `t:tree`)

## Alternative Assignment: satisfiability (assign\_sat)

We study propositional formulas and check whether they are “satisfiable”. A formula  $f$  is satisfiable if there is a valuation  $\rho$  (a valuation is a map that assigns 0 or 1 to each of the proposition variables) such that  $\rho(f) = 1$ .

Here,  $\rho(f)$  is computed using the well-known “truth table semantics”.

- Define the inductive type of “propositional expressions” `form` with the following constructors.

```
f_var : nat -> form
f_and : form -> form -> form
f_or  : form -> form -> form
f_imp : form -> form -> form
f_neg : form -> form
```

`f_var` gives us infinitely many propositional variables, that are all indexed by a natural number.

- Define the notion of a “model” as a valuation  $\rho$  that assigns a boolean to each natural number. This can be done in various ways:

```
- model : nat -> bool
- model : list(nat * bool)
- model : listbool
```

The last two assign a boolean to only finitely many numbers, but a proposition contains only finitely many variables anyway, so that’s no problem. each of these choices has pros and cons; probably the second is easiest to work with.

- Define a function `find_model` that, given an `e:form`, computes a model  $\rho$  in which `e` is true (i.e. in which  $\rho(e) = \text{true}$ ).

Let `find_model` give an “error” message if no such  $\rho$  exists, by making it of type `form -> option model`. Check the definition of `option` by doing `Print option`

NB. To define `find_model`, you will probably have to:

- First collect the list of proposition variables that occur in `e`.
  - Then, by recursion over this list, try out all different valuations of `{true, false}` to the proposition variables occurring in `e`.
- Prove that `find_model` “works” : if `find_model e ≠ None _`, then `find_model e` produces a model of `e`.