

Proving with Computer Assistance
Lecture 12

Inversion

Herman Geuvers

The natural number type

Recall the definition of natural numbers:

Inductive `nat` : Set := `0` : nat | `S` : nat -> nat.

Meaning of this definition:

- ▶ Every number has one of two forms:
 - ▶ it is the constructor `0` **or**
 - ▶ it is built by applying the constructor `S` to another number.
- ▶ But there is more to say, which is implicit in the definition:
 - ▶ The constructor `S` is injective: If $S\ n = S\ m$, then $n = m$
 - ▶ The constructors `0` and `S` are distinct: `0` is not equal to $S\ n$ for any n .

General inductive types

Principles similar to `nat` apply to all inductively defined types:

- ▶ **injectivity**: the constructors are injective
- ▶ **no overlap**: the values built from distinct constructors are never equal.

For lists:

- ▶ `cons` is injective
- ▶ `nil` \neq `cons a l` for every `a`, `l`

For booleans: `true` \neq `false`

Inversion tactic

The inversion tactic is used to exploit **injectivity** and **no overlap**

Suppose

$$H : c \ a_1 \ a_2 \ \dots \ a_n = d \ b_1 \ b_2 \ \dots \ b_m$$

for constructors c and d and arguments $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$ and $b_1 b_2 \dots b_m$. Then

`inversion H`

looks at the possible ways that this equation can arise:

- ▶ If c and d are the same constructor, then (by the injectivity) $a_1 = b_1, a_2 = b_2, \dots$. These facts are added to the context, and can be used to rewrite the goal.
- ▶ If c and d are different constructors, then H is contradictory (the equality is false). So, the goal is provable! `inversion H` completes the goal.

See the examples in the Rocq files.

How inversion works: example of $\neg\text{even}(1)$

Inductive even : nat \rightarrow Prop :=

| evenO : even 0

| evenSS : forall n, even n \rightarrow even (S (S n)).

even-ind : \forall P : nat \rightarrow Prop, P 0 \rightarrow

(forall n : nat, even n \rightarrow P n \rightarrow P (S (S n))) \rightarrow

forall n : nat, even n \rightarrow P n