## Semantics and Domain theory

## Exercises 12

- 1. We consider the model definition as explained in the lecture. (See Definition 57 of Berline; so we assume that the interpretation  $[\![-]\!]_{\rho}$  is well-defined.) Assume  $G \circ A = \mathrm{id}_M$ . Show that the  $\eta$ -rule holds in the model. (The  $\eta$ -rule says:  $\lambda x.Nx = N$  if  $x \notin \mathrm{FV}(N)$ .) NB. You may need to use the following property (without proof): If  $\rho(x) = \rho'(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathrm{FV}(M)$ , then  $[\![M]\!]_{\rho} = [\![M]\!]_{\rho'}$ .
- 2. Which of the following sets are complete lattices.
  - (a) The set of flat natural numbers  $\mathbb{N}_{\perp}$ .
  - (b) The set  $\mathcal{P}_{fin}(\mathbb{N})$  of finite subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ .
  - (c) The set  $\Omega$  (=  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\omega\}$ , with the ordering we have seen before).
  - (d) The set of monotone functions from  $\mathbb{B}_{\perp}^{\top}$  to  $\mathbb{B}_{\perp}^{\top}$ . (Remember that the set of flat booleans with a top element added,  $\mathbb{B}_{\perp}^{\top}$ , is a complete lattice.)
- 3. Complete the proof of Proposition 3.1.7. That is, show that in a complete lattice  $(D, \sqsubseteq)$ , if we define

we that in a complete factor 
$$(D, \subseteq)$$
, if we define

then  $\prod X$  is indeed the greatest lower bound (also called the inf) of X.

4. Prove the correctness of Definition 3.2.5. To prove this, you have to show that the function

$$\lambda d. \llbracket P \rrbracket_{\rho(x:=d)}$$

is continuous for every P and  $\rho$ . (You may assume that F and G are continuous and all the other results about continuity from the notes.)

- 5. At the lecture, we have seen the interpretations in  $D_A$  of  $\mathbf{I} (= \lambda x.x)$ ,  $\mathbf{K} (= \lambda x.\lambda y.x)$  and  $\mathbf{II}$ .
  - (a) Compute the interpretation of  $\lambda x.x.x.$
  - (b) Show that  $[\![\mathbf{KI}]\!] = \{(\beta, (\gamma, c)) \mid c \in \gamma\}$  (without doing a  $\beta$ -reduction first).
- 6. Let Y be an element of  $D_A$  and let  $\rho$  be a valuation with  $\rho(y) = Y$ .
  - (a) Compute in  $D_A$  the interpretation of  $\lambda x.y.x$  by expressing  $[\![\lambda x.y.x]\!]_{\rho}$  in terms of Y.
  - (b) Conclude that the  $\eta$ -rule does not hold in  $D_A$ . (The  $\eta$ -rule says that  $\lambda x.M x = M$  if  $x \notin FV(M)$ .)
- 7. Use the result of the following exercise ( $\llbracket \Omega \rrbracket = \emptyset$ ) to
  - (a) compute the interpretation of  $\lambda y.\Omega$  in  $D_A$ ,
  - (b) compute the interpretation of  $\lambda y.y \Omega$  in  $D_A$ .
- 8. [Challenging] Show that the interpretation of  $\Omega$  (=  $(\lambda x.x x)(\lambda x.x x)$ ) in  $D_A$  is  $\emptyset$ .

(Hint: From a  $c \in [\Omega]$  you can construct an infinite sequence  $(\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $(\alpha_{i+1}, c) \in \alpha_i$  for all i, which is impossible in  $D_A$ .)