Example logic programs

```
Parent(julia, augustus) \leftarrow
Female(julia) \leftarrow
Mother(x, y) \leftarrow Parent(x, y), Female(x)
```

$$Member(x, ullet(x, y)) \leftarrow Member(x, ullet(z, y)) \leftarrow Member(x, y)$$

Goal

A goal is a Horn clause with no head: $\leftarrow B_1, ..., B_l$

The literals $B_1, ..., B_l$ are the subgoals. The empty clause is a goal without subgoals. For this we write \leftarrow .

Examples

- ← Mother(julia, augustus)
- \leftarrow Parent(julia, x)
- \leftarrow Parent(x, julia)

 $\leftarrow Plus(x, y, SSSS0), Times(x, y, SSSS0)$

Propositional resolution

A resolution derivation or resolution proof is a sequence of zero, one, or more applications of resolution. If φ is the last line in the derivation with assumptions from Π , then we write $\Pi \vdash \varphi$. A refutation is a resolution proof of the empty clause \leftarrow . The refutation $\Pi \vdash \vdash \leftarrow^{-1}$ corresponds to the inconsistency $\Pi \models \bot$.

Example

- 1. $Parent(julia, augustus) \leftarrow$
- 2. Female(julia) \leftarrow
- 3. Mother(julia, augustus) \leftarrow Parent(julia, augustus), Female(julia)
- 4. \leftarrow Mother(julia, augustus)(Goal)5. \leftarrow Parent(julia, augustus), Female(julia)(3,4)6. \leftarrow Parent(julia, augustus)(2,5)7. \leftarrow (1,6)

Completeness Lvl Fact 16.3

Fact: Propositional resolution is (sound and) complete for deducing propositional consequences.

We need to be able to remove more than one occurrence of an atom at the time.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Try getting the empty clause from $p, p \leftarrow and \leftarrow p, p$ Now, we can only get $p \leftarrow p$

Completeness Lvl Fact 16.3

Fact: Propositional resolution is (sound and) complete for deducing propositional consequences.

We need to be able to remove more than one occurrence of an atom at the time.

```
Try getting the empty clause from p, p \leftarrow and \leftarrow p, p
Now, we can only get p \leftarrow p
```

Be careful: do not remove two *different* atoms at the same time: From $p, q \leftarrow$ and $\leftarrow p, q$, we do not get \leftarrow . Why?

Towards general resolution: Simultaneous substitution

Simultaneous substitution of terms $t_1, ..., t_n$ for variables $x_1, ..., x_n : [x_1 = t_1, ..., x_n = t_n].$

If θ is a substition, we write θA for the result of applying θ to A.

Unifiable

Two expressions A and B are unifiable if there is a substitution θ such that $\theta A = \theta B$. The substitution θ is called a *unifier*. A substitution θ is a most general unifier (mgu) of A and B if all unifiers τ of A and B are more specific than θ .

Examples

P(x, f(y)) and P(a, f(g(z))) unify via [x = a, y = g(z)]
P(x, f(y)) and P(a, f(g(z))) also unify via [x=a, y=g(b), z=b]
The first is an mgu (most general unifier)

•
$$Q(a, g(x, a), f(y))$$
 and $Q(a, g(f(b), a), x)$ unify via $[x = f(b), y = b]$

- R(h(x), c) en R(f(a), y) do not unify
- *Mother(julia, augustus)* en *Mother(x, y)* unify via [x = julia, y = augustus]

Unification algorithm Lvl 16.5

Start with Eq := [u = t]. The variable Eq is called 'the equations'. Choose an equation from Eq having one of the following (mutually exclusive) forms. Apply the corresponding action. Repeat the procedure until no action can be performed.

• If
$$f(u_1, ..., u_n) = f(t_1, ..., t_n)$$
, replace by $u_1 = t_1, ..., u_n = t_n$;

- If $g(u_1, ..., u_m) = f(t_1, ..., t_n)$ and $g \neq f$ or $m \neq n$, failure;
- If x = x, remove;
- If $f(t_1, ..., t_n) = x$, replace by $x = f(t_1, ..., t_n)$;
- If $x = f(t_1, ..., t_n)$ and x occurs in $f(t_1, ..., t_n)$, failure.
- If $x = f(t_1, ..., t_n)$, x not in $f(t_1, ..., t_n)$, but x occurs in other equations: replace all other x by $f(t_1, ..., t_n)$;

After successful termination of the algorithm, Eq is an mgu of t and u.

Example

Do Q(a, g(f(b), a), x) and Q(a, g(x, a), f(y)) unify? As usual, a and b are constants, x and y are variables.

$$Eq := [Q(a, g(f(b), a), x) = Q(a, g(x, a), f(y))]$$

becomes $[a = a, g(f(b), a) = g(x, a), x = f(y)]$
becomes $[g(f(b), a) = g(x, a), x = f(y)]$
becomes $[f(b) = x, a = a, x = f(y)]$
becomes $[x = f(b), a = a, x = f(y)]$
becomes $[x = f(b), x = f(y)]$
becomes $[x = f(b), f(b) = f(y)]$
becomes $[x = f(b), b = y]$
becomes $[x = f(b), y = b]$

So, [x = f(b), y = b] is a most general unifier of the two terms.