

# Colecture 2: Monads

Aleks Kissinger (and Juriaan Rot)

November 15, 2016

## 1 Terms

An important kind of algebraic data type is a type of *terms* for a signature  $\Sigma$ . These form the connection between initial algebras of a functor and algebraic structures in the usual sense (groups, rings, etc.).

A *signature*  $\Sigma$  is a collection of *symbols* with *arities*. Symbols are just names (i.e. the names of some collection of functions we care about), and arities tell us how many arguments those function symbols take. For example:

$$\Sigma_G = \{m : 2, i : 1, e : 0\}$$

is a signature. A valid *term* for this signature is any composition of those symbols respecting arities. For example:

$$m(m(i(e), e), e)$$

is a valid term, but  $e(m)$  is not.

Signatures are the starting point for defining an algebraic structure. They tell us what operations are allowed. For example, the signature  $\Sigma$  above defines the allowed operations for a *group*, namely: multiplication, inverse, and unit.

The set of terms for a signature is the initial algebra of a functor of the form:

$$F(X) = X^{n_1} + X^{n_2} + \dots + X^{n_k}$$

where  $n_i$  is the arity of the  $i$ -th generator. For example, the functor for the group-signature given above is:

$$F(X) = X^2 + X + 1$$

In datatype-notation, this initial algebra is given by:

```
datatype GTerm = m of GTerm × GTerm
                | i of GTerm
                | e
```

**Exercise 1.1.** Give a functor whose initial algebras are terms for a ring.

The elements of  $\text{GTerm}$  are terms constructed using the symbols from the group signature  $\Sigma_G$ . However, the elements of this algebra are only *ground terms*, i.e. they have no variables in them, only constants. In particular, we have no way of stating the axioms of a group (which indeed involve variables):

$$\begin{aligned} m(a, m(b, c)) &= m(m(a, b), c) \\ m(a, e) = a = m(e, a) \quad m(a, i(a)) = e = m(i(a), a) \end{aligned}$$

We can fix this by adding a parameter, like we had for lists, and pass it to a new constructor called `var`:

```
datatype GTerm(A) = var of A
                    | m of GTerm × GTerm
                    | i of GTerm
                    | e
```

That is, we take the initial algebra of the functor:

$$F_A(X) = A + X^2 + X + 1 \tag{1}$$

Now, elements of  $\text{GTerm}(A)$  look like, e.g.:

$$m(\text{var}(a), m(\text{var}(b), y, \text{var}(c)))$$

where  $a, b, c \in A$  are variables taken from an arbitrary set  $A$ . Cool! We are one step closer to being able to talk about real algebraic stuff using endofunctors. But before we get there, let's make a couple of observations.

First, if we have an  $f : A \rightarrow B$ , we can build a function

$$\text{GTerm}(f) : \text{GTerm}(A) \rightarrow \text{GTerm}(B)$$

much like we did for lists:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A + \text{GTerm}(A)^2 + \text{GTerm}(A) + 1 & \dashrightarrow & A + \text{GTerm}(B)^2 + \text{GTerm}(B) + 1 \\ \downarrow [\text{var}, m, i, e] & & \downarrow [\text{var} \circ f, m, i, e] \\ \text{GTerm}(A) & \dashrightarrow^{\text{GTerm}(f)} & \text{GTerm}(B) \end{array}$$

This function recurses all the way down to variables, then applies  $f$ . We can see the associated ML code by listing the recurrence relations:

```
fun GTerm(f)(m(x, y)) = m(GTerm(f)(x), GTerm(f)(y))
  | GTerm(f)(i(x)) = i(GTerm(f)(x))
  | GTerm(f)(e) = e
  | GTerm(f)(var(a)) = var(f(a))
```

Now, we can take any set  $A$  to be the set of variables for  $\text{GTerm}(A)$ . So, what happens if we take  $\text{GTerm}(A)$  itself? Well, we have terms whose “variables” are themselves terms, e.g.

$$m(\text{var}(m(e, \text{var}(a))), \text{var}(e)) \in \text{GTerm}(\text{GTerm}(A))$$

Well, this is really just a term in  $\text{GTerm}(A)$  again. All we have to do is “flatten” it, i.e. delete one layer of  $\text{var}$ :

$$m(\text{var}(m(e, \text{var}(a))), \text{var}(e)) \mapsto m(m(e, \text{var}(a)), e)$$

As ML, this function looks like:

```

fun flat(var(a)) = a
    | flat(m(x, y)) = m(flat(x), flat(y))
    | flat(i(x)) = i(flat(x))
    | flat(e) = e

```

We can also do the opposite thing. For any  $a \in A$ , we can get a term just by wrapping it in “ $\text{var}$ ”. We’ll call this lift:

```

fun lift(a) = var(a)

```

We can build these using the initial algebra structure as well. But first, note we don’t really need the exact definition of  $F$  from (1) to construct  $\text{GTerm}(A)$ , we just need the fact that:

$$F_A(X) := A + F(X)$$

has an initial algebra. Let  $F^*(A)$  be the initial algebra of  $F_A$ .

**Exercise 1.2.** Prove that  $F^*$  extends to a functor for any  $F$  such that  $F_A$  has an initial algebra for all  $A \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$ .

Every initial algebra comes with a morphism. For  $F^*(A)$ , let’s call it:

$$[\text{lift}, \text{flat}_1] : A + F(F^*(A)) \rightarrow F^*(A)$$

Boom! We already have lift. It is just part of the initial algebra structure. Intuitively, the other part flattens one level of term-structure. So, flat should be defined in terms of  $\text{flat}_1$ , recursively. First, note that  $F^*(F^*(A))$  is the initial algebra of  $F_{F^*(A)}$ . Hence we can get a function

$$\text{flat}_A : F^*(F^*(A)) \rightarrow F^*(A)$$

by giving  $F^*(A)$  the structure of an  $F_{F^*(A)}$  algebra. That's a little bit mind-bending, but it's actually not too bad if we keep a clear head. We need to complete this square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F_{F^*(A)}(F^*(F^*(A))) & \dashrightarrow & F_{F^*(A)}(F^*(A)) \\
 \downarrow a & & \downarrow ? \\
 F^*(F^*(A)) & \dashrightarrow \text{flat} & F^*(A)
 \end{array}$$

i.e. this square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F^*(A) + F(F^*(F^*(A))) & \dashrightarrow & F^*(A) + F(F^*(A)) \\
 \downarrow [\text{lift}, \text{flat}_1] & & \downarrow ? \\
 F^*(F^*(A)) & \dashrightarrow \text{flat} & F^*(A)
 \end{array}$$

Well, if we already have a term in  $F^*(A)$ , do nothing with it. Otherwise, we use  $\text{flat}_1$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F^*(A) + F(F^*(F^*(A))) & \dashrightarrow^{F^*(A) + F(\text{flat})} & F^*(A) + F(F^*(A)) \\
 \downarrow [\text{lift}, \text{flat}_1] & & \downarrow [\text{id}_{F^*(A)}, \text{flat}_1] \\
 F^*(F^*(A)) & \dashrightarrow \text{flat} & F^*(A)
 \end{array}$$

## 1.1 Natural transformations: don't open that box!

One thing we notice about the definitions of  $\text{flat}_A$  and  $\text{lift}_A$  is that they don't look directly at the elements of  $A$ . They just reshuffle them around inside of lists. In functional programming, this means  $\text{flat}$  is a *polymorphic* function, with a parameter  $A$ . But what does "not touching the stuff inside of  $A$ " mean categorically?

The key lies in the fact that  $F^*$  and  $F^* \circ F^*$  are both functors. Acting independently of a parameter  $A$  means that the *functions*  $\text{flat}_A$  actually lifts to certain kinds of maps between functors:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{lift} &: \text{Id} \rightarrow F^* \\
 \text{flat} &: F^* \circ F^* \rightarrow F^*
 \end{aligned}$$

That is, they are *morphisms* in the category  $[\text{Set}, \text{Set}]$  of endofunctors on  $\text{Set}$ . The correct notion of a morphism between functors is a *natural transformation*.

**Definition 1.3.** A natural transformation from a functor  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  to another functor  $G : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is: ..

**Definition 1.4.** The category  $[\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}]$  has as objects functors  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  and as morphisms natural transformations.

**Exercise 1.5.** Show that  $[\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}]$  is a category. What are its identities? What is composition?

We have already (secretly) shown that lift is a natural transformation in exercise 1.2. We should (spoiler alert!) define  $F^*(f)$  as:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A + F(F^*(A)) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_A + F(F^*(f))} & A + F(F^*(B)) \\ \downarrow [\text{lift}, \text{flat}_1] & & \downarrow [\text{lift} \circ f, \text{flat}_1] \\ F^*(A) & \xrightarrow{F^*(f)} & F^*(B) \end{array}$$

Just reading the  $A$ -part of this commutative square gives:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_A} & A \\ \text{lift} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{lift} \circ f \\ F^*(A) & \xrightarrow{F^*(f)} & F^*(B) \end{array}$$

Shifting the  $f$  around to the top, we can write the same equation as this commutative square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\ \text{lift} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{lift} \\ F^*(A) & \xrightarrow{F^*(f)} & F^*(B) \end{array}$$

which is exactly naturality for lift! flat is a bit harder, due to the recursive definition. So, we'll make this a "starred" (i.e. advanced/optional) exercise:

**Exercise\* 1.6.** Prove that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F^*(F^*(A)) & \xrightarrow{F^*(F^*(f))} & F^*(F^*(B)) \\ \text{flat} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{flat} \\ F^*(A) & \xrightarrow{F^*(f)} & F^*(B) \end{array}$$

In addition to naturality, we notice that lift and flat interact well with each other. For instance, if I lift a term then flatten it, I get back where I started:

$$m(e, \text{var}(a)) \mapsto \text{var}(m(e, \text{var}(a))) \mapsto m(e, \text{var}(a))$$

I can write this as a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F^*(A) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & F^*(A) \\ \text{lift}_{F^*(A)} \downarrow & \nearrow \text{flat}_A & \\ F^*(F^*(A)) & & \end{array}$$

Similarly, if I lift all the var's in a term, via  $F^*(\text{lift})$ , then flat, I get back where I started:

$$m(e, \text{var}(a)) \mapsto m(e, \text{var}(\text{var}(a))) \mapsto m(e, \text{var}(a))$$

I can also write this as a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F^*(A) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & F^*(A) \\ F^*(\text{lift}_A) \downarrow & \nearrow \text{flat}_A & \\ F^*(F^*(A)) & & \end{array}$$

Finally, if I have "triple terms", there are two ways I can flatten them. I can first recurse into all the var's and flatten those, using  $F^*(\text{flat}_A)$ , then flatten the whole term using  $\text{flat}_A$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & m(e, \text{var}(m(\text{var}(e), \text{var}(\text{var}(a)))))) \\ & \mapsto m(e, \text{var}(m(e, \text{var}(a)))) \\ & \mapsto m(e, m(e, \text{var}(a))) \end{aligned}$$

...or I can first flatten at the top level using  $\text{flat}_{F^*(A)}$ , then flatten again using  $\text{flat}_A$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & m(e, \text{var}(m(\text{var}(e), \text{var}(\text{var}(a)))))) \\ & \mapsto m(e, m(\text{var}(e), \text{var}(\text{var}(a)))) \\ & \mapsto m(e, m(e, \text{var}(a))) \end{aligned}$$

These should of course be the same:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F^*(F^*(F^*(A))) & \xrightarrow{\text{flat}_{F^*(A)}} & F^*(F^*(A)) \\
 F^*(\text{flat}_A) \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{flat}_A \\
 F^*(F^*(A)) & \xrightarrow{\text{flat}_A} & F^*(A)
 \end{array}$$

## 2 Monads

A monad is an endofunctor that comes with a natural transformation like lift (typically called  $\eta$ ) and a natural transformation like flat (typically called  $\mu$ ), satisfying the commutative diagrams we have already seen.

**Definition 2.1.** A *monad* is an endofunctor  $M : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  along with two natural transformations  $\mu : M \circ M \rightarrow M$  and  $\eta : \text{Id}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow M$  such that for all  $A \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$ , the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M(M(M(A))) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{M(A)}} & M(M(A)) \\
 \mu_A \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu_A \\
 M(M(A)) & \xrightarrow{\mu_A} & M(A)
 \end{array}$$
  

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M(A) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & M(A) \\
 \eta_{M(A)} \downarrow & \nearrow \mu_A & \\
 M(M(A)) & & 
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 M(A) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & M(A) \\
 M(\eta_A) \downarrow & \nearrow \mu_A & \\
 M(M(A)) & & 
 \end{array}$$

**Exercise 2.2.** Show that the powerset endofunctor  $\mathcal{P} : \text{Set} \rightarrow \text{Set}$  is a monad. What are  $\mu$  and  $\eta$ ?

**Exercise 2.3.** Show that  $F(X) = X \times \mathbb{N}$  admits at least two distinct monad structures.

The monad we met in the previous section is called the *free monad* of an endofunctor. We'll (hopefully) hear a bit more about this later.

A particularly handy monad for programmers is the "option" or "exception" monad. This is a monad for the functor:  $\text{Option}(A) = A + 1$ . This is particularly handy for defining partial functions, i.e. functions that could fail

on some inputs. Thus, it has one constructor to give a value (in the case where the function was successful), and one to indicate failure:

$$[\text{yay}, \text{boo}] : A + 1 \rightarrow \text{Option}(A)$$

So, in **datatype** notation, it looks like this:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{datatype} \text{Option}(A) = & \text{yay of } A \\ & | \text{boo} \end{aligned}$$

This gives us a functor via:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{fun} \text{Option}(f)(\text{yay}(x)) = & f(x) \\ | \text{Option}(f)(\text{boo}) = & \text{boo} \end{aligned}$$

and a monad via:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{fun} \text{eta}(a) = & \text{yay}(a) \\ \mathbf{fun} \text{mu}(\text{boo}) = & \text{boo} \\ | \text{mu}(\text{yay}(x)) = & x \end{aligned}$$

So, eta lifts an element of  $A$  to a “successful” element of  $\text{Option}(A)$ , whereas mu flattens two levels of  $\text{Option}$ , propagating success/failure to outside:

$$\text{mu} :: \text{boo} \mapsto \text{boo}, \text{yay}(\text{boo}) \mapsto \text{boo}, \text{yay}(\text{yay}(a)) \mapsto \text{yay}(a)$$

Now, a function that might fail is of type  $f : A \rightarrow \text{Option}(B)$ . Consider, for example, adding plus 1 and minus 1 functions to natural numbers, which allow for failure:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{fun} \text{plus1}(x) = & \text{yay}(\text{suc}(x)) \\ \mathbf{fun} \text{minus1}(\text{suc}(x)) = & \text{yay}(x) \\ | \text{minus1}(\text{zero}) = & \text{boo} \end{aligned}$$

Then, these give us functions of the form  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Option}(\mathbb{N})$ , which we can “compose” using the monad structure:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{infix} \text{ THEN} \\ \mathbf{fun} (f \text{ THEN } g) = & \text{mu} \circ \text{Option}(g) \circ f \end{aligned}$$

Now, we can chain together things that might fail, e.g.

$$\mathbf{val} f = \text{minus1 THEN plus1 THEN plus1}$$



Then, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} f(\text{suc}(\text{zero})) &\mapsto \text{yay}(\text{suc}(\text{suc}(\text{zero}))) \\ f(\text{zero}) &\mapsto \text{boo} \end{aligned}$$

This style of composition using  $\mu$  is called *Kleisli composition*. It is in fact normal composition, just in a different category!

**Definition 2.4.** The *Kleisli category*  $\text{Kl}(M)$  of a monad  $M$  is defined as follows:

- *objects* are the original objects  $\text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ ,
- *morphisms*  $(\hat{f} : C \rightarrow D) \in \text{Kl}(M)$  are morphisms  $(f : C \rightarrow M(D)) \in \mathcal{C}$ ,
- *composition* is given by *Kleisli composition*. For  $f : A \rightarrow M(B)$  and  $g : B \rightarrow M(C)$ , we have:

$$\hat{g} \circ \hat{f} := \mu_C \circ M(g) \circ f$$

- *identities* are given by  $\eta$ . That is,  $(\text{id}_A : A \rightarrow A) \in \text{Kl}(M)$  is given by  $(\eta_A : A \rightarrow M(A)) \in \mathcal{C}$ .

**Exercise 2.5.** What is the Kleisli category of Option? What is the Kleisli category of  $\mathcal{P}$ ?