B-SCREEN: Bayesian Decision Support in Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Screening

Perry Groot¹, Peter Lucas¹, Nivea de Carvalho Ferreira¹ Nico Karssemeijer², Maurice Samulski², Marina Velikova²

¹Institute for Computing and Information Sciences Radboud University Nijmegen Toernooiveld 1, 6525 ED Nijmegen, The Netherlands {perry, peterl}@cs.ru.nl

²Department of Radiology, UMC St. Radboud Postbus 9101, 6500 HB Nijmegen, The Netherlands {n.karssemeijer,m.samulski}@rad.umcn.nl





Background

The availability of huge data sets may lead to important changes in health care by mining those data for the development of decision support sytems. Probabilistic grapical models are considered appropriate tools for data mining. Soon, the digitization of the Dutch breast cancer screening will start giving a unique opportunity to mine these data for the development of decision support systems in Radiology.



Aims

The further development of CAD technology using Bayesian Networks to address the problem of interpretation failures by radiologists.

- Develop novel classification methods such that:
- Medical knowledge can be incorporated
- Classifiers are faithful w.r.t. the data
- Develop new image representation techniques
- Extend mammographic breast cancer data sets
- Determine experimentally how to use CAD as a decision aid in a practical context

MLO or medial lateral oblique view

CC or cranio-caudal view

Mammography is based on the difference in absorption of X-rays between various tissue components. The projection of the breast can be made from different angles. The medial lateral oblique (MLO, side view taken at an angle), and the cranio caudal (CC, top to bottom view).



Methodology

Learning Bayesian networks from data involves learning the *network topology* and learning the *parameters*. As the possible network structures are exponentially large, we restrict them to Bayesian classifiers. Variables will represent continuous images features, which need to be reduced using for example feature selection and principal component analysis. Most effort will be put though in using expert knowledge to train a series of simple classifiers on separate subsets (representing different signs, views, or distinct aspects like lesion boundary and effect of a lesion on its surroundings). The output of these simple classifiers can then be incorporated as input to another Bayesian network. Classifier training will be started using existing algorithms and N-fold cross-validation. A preliminary investigation already has shown adequate support for continuous variables (possibly after data normalisation techniques). Preliminary results shows that a Naive Bayesian classifier can give → E5 (E1) **E2 E3** (**E4** →(E4) E5 comparable results with a Support Vector Machine, which currently gives the best classifier performance.







representing dependencies between evidence variables E_i for a class *C*. The Naive Bayesian classifier (NB, top left), Forrest-augmented Naive Bayesian classifier (FAN, bottom left), and Bayesian Network augmented Naive Bayesian classifier (BAN, bottom right).

[1] M. Samulski, N. Karssemeijer, P. Lucas, and P. Groot. Classification of mammographic masses using support vector machines and Bayesian networks. In SPIE Medical Imaging, 2007.

