

$\mathcal{D}_n(A)$ for a class of polynomial automorphisms and stably tameness

Arno van den Essen and Engelbert Hubbers

Abstract

In this paper we introduce a set, denoted by $\mathcal{D}_n(A)$, for every commutative ring A and every positive integer n . It is shown that the elements of this set can be used to give an explicit description of the class $\mathcal{H}_n(A)$ introduced in [5]. We deduce that each polynomial map of the form $F = X + H$ with $H \in \mathcal{H}_n(A)$ can be written as a finite product of automorphisms of the form $\exp(D)$, where each D is a locally nilpotent derivation satisfying $D^2(X_i) = 0$ for all i . Furthermore we deduce that all such F 's are stably tame.

1 Notations, definitions and an explicit description of the class $\mathcal{H}_n(A)$

1.1 Notations

Throughout this paper A denotes an arbitrary commutative ring and $A[X] := A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ denotes the polynomial ring in n variables over A . Furthermore if $G = (G_1, \dots, G_n) \in A[X]^n$ and $S = (S_{ij}(X)) \in M_{p,q}(A[X])$ then $S(G)$ or $S|_G$ denotes the $p \times q$ matrix $(S_{ij}(G_1, \dots, G_n))_{i,j}$. In particular if $F \in A[X]^n$ ($= M_{n,1}(A[X])$) then the composition of the polynomial maps F and G , denoted $F \circ G$, is equal to $F(G)$.

Matrix multiplication will be denoted by the symbol $*$. So if $S, T \in M_n(A[X])$ then the matrix product of S and T is denoted by $S * T$. By X we denote the column vector $(X_1, \dots, X_n)^t$. In the sequel we also need another multiplication in $M_n(A[X])$, which we denote by Δ . This multiplication is defined as follows:

$$S \Delta T := S(T * X) * T$$

for all $S, T \in M_n(A[X])$.

One easily verifies that this multiplication is associative, so it makes sense to write

$$S_1 \Delta S_2 \Delta \cdots \Delta S_n$$

2 Notations, definitions and an explicit description of the class $\mathcal{H}_n(A)$

for each n -tuple S_1, \dots, S_n in $M_n(A[X])$. Sometimes we need to extend a vector of length $1 \leq p \leq n-1$ or a $p \times p$ matrix to a vector of length n respectively an $n \times n$ matrix. This is done as follows: let $1 \leq p \leq n-1$, $c \in A[X]^p$ and $T \in M_p(A[X])$. Then \tilde{c}^n denotes the vector

$$\tilde{c}^n = \begin{pmatrix} c \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in A[X]^n,$$

obtained by extending c by $n-p$ zeros and \tilde{T}^n denotes the matrix

$$\tilde{T}^n = \begin{pmatrix} T & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-p} \end{pmatrix} \in M_n(A[X]),$$

obtained by extending T with the $n-p \times n-p$ identity matrix. To simplify the notations we drop the superscript ' n ' and write \tilde{c} and \tilde{T} , even sometimes when it is clear from the context that we mean \tilde{c}^{n-1} respectively \tilde{T}^{n-1} instead of \tilde{c}^n respectively \tilde{T}^n .

Finally the adjoint of a matrix T is denoted by $\text{Adj}(T)$ and if a_1, \dots, a_p are elements of a (non-necessary commutative) ring then $\prod_{i=1}^p a_i$ denotes the element $a_1 \cdots a_p$.

1.2 $\mathcal{D}_n(A)$ and the class $\mathcal{H}_n(A)$

In [5] we introduced a new class of polynomial maps, denoted by $\mathcal{H}_n(A)$, and showed that for each $H \in \mathcal{H}_n(A)$ the Jacobian matrix JH is nilpotent and that the polynomial map $F = X + H$ is invertible over A with $\det(JF) = 1$.

Let us recall the definition of $\mathcal{H}_n(A)$.

Definition 1.1 First if $n = 1$ we define $\mathcal{H}_1(A) = A$. If $n \geq 2$ we define $\mathcal{H}_n(A)$ inductively as follows: let $H \in A[X]^n$, then $H \in \mathcal{H}_n(A)$ if and only if there exist $T \in M_n(A)$, $c \in A^n$ and $H_* \in \mathcal{H}_{n-1}(A[X_n])$ such that

$$H = \text{Adj}(T) * \begin{pmatrix} H_* \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_{|T \star X} + c. \quad (1)$$

The main aim of this section is to give an explicit description of the elements of $\mathcal{H}_n(A)$. Therefore we introduce some useful objects.

Definition 1.2 Let $n \geq 2$. Then $\mathcal{D}_n(A)$ is the set of $(2n-1)$ -tuples

$$(T, c) := (T_2, \dots, T_n, c_1, \dots, c_n)$$

where $T_n \in M_n(A)$, $T_i \in M_i(A[X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n])$ for all $2 \leq i \leq n-1$, $c_n \in A^n (= M_{n,1}(A))$ and $c_i \in M_{i,1}(A[X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n])$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.

If $n \geq 3$ we get a natural map $\pi : \mathcal{D}_n(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{n-1}(A[X_n])$ defined by

$$\pi((T_2, \dots, T_n, c_1, \dots, c_n)) = (T_2, \dots, T_{n-1}, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}).$$

Instead of $\pi((T, c))$ we often write (T', c') .

Definition 1.3 Let $n \geq 2$ and $0 \leq p \leq n - 2$. Then

$$E_{n,p} : \mathcal{D}_n(A) \rightarrow A[X]^n$$

is given by

1. $E_{n,0}((T, c)) := \text{Adj}(T_n) * \tilde{c}_{n-1}|_{T_n * X}$ for all $(T, c) \in \mathcal{D}_n(A)$.
2. If $n \geq 3$ and $1 \leq p \leq n - 2$, then inductively (with respect to n)

$$E_{n,p}((T, c)) := \text{Adj}(T_n) * \left(\begin{array}{c} E_{n-1,p-1}((T', c')) \\ 0 \end{array} \right)_{|T_n * X}$$

Instead of $E_{n,p}((T, c))$ we simply write $E_{n,p}(T, c)$.

Now we are able to give the main result of this section.

Proposition 1.4 Let $n \geq 2$ and $H \in A[X]^n$. Then $H \in \mathcal{H}_n(A)$ if and only if there exists $(T, c) \in \mathcal{D}_n(A)$ such that

$$H = \sum_{p=0}^{n-2} E_{n,p}(T, c) + c_n.$$

Proof. By induction on n . The case $n = 2$ is obvious, so let $n \geq 3$. Then

$$H = \text{Adj}(T_n) * \left(\begin{array}{c} H_* \\ 0 \end{array} \right)_{|T_n * X} + c_n$$

where $T_n \in M_n(A)$, $c_n \in A^n$ and $H_* \in \mathcal{H}_{n-1}(A[X_n])$. So by the induction hypothesis we have

$$H_* = \sum_{p=0}^{n-3} E_{n-1,p}(T^*, c^*) + c_{n-1}^*$$

for some $(T^*, c^*) \in \mathcal{D}_{n-1}(A[X_n])$. Put $(T, c) := (T^*, T_n, C^*, c_n)$ and observe that $(T, c) \in \mathcal{D}_n(A)$ and $(T', c') = (T^*, c^*)$. So

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \sum_{p=0}^{n-3} \text{Adj}(T_n) * E_{n-1,p}(T', c')_{|T_n * X} + \text{Adj}(T_n) * \left(\begin{array}{c} c_{n-1}^* \\ 0 \end{array} \right)_{|T_n * X} + c_n \\ &= \sum_{p=1}^{n-2} E_{n,p}(T, c) + E_{n,0}(T, c) + c_n \\ &= \sum_{p=0}^{n-2} E_{n,p}(T, c) + c_n. \end{aligned}$$

□

4 Notations, definitions and an explicit description of the class $\mathcal{H}_n(A)$

Proposition 1.5 *Let $n \geq 2$, $0 \leq p \leq n - 2$ and $(T, c) \in \mathcal{D}_n(A)$. Then*

$$E_{n,p}(T, c) = \text{Adj}(\tilde{T}_{n-p} \Delta \cdots \Delta \tilde{T}_{n-1} \Delta T_n) * \tilde{c}_{n-p-1} |_{(\tilde{T}_{n-p} \Delta \cdots \Delta \tilde{T}_{n-1} \Delta T_n) * X}$$

Proof. By induction on p . The case $p = 0$ is obvious. So let $p \geq 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & E_{n,p}(T, c) \\ = & \text{Adj}(T_n) * \left(\begin{array}{c} E_{n-1,p-1}(T', c') \\ 0 \end{array} \right)_{|T_n * X} \\ = & \text{(by the induction hypothesis)} \\ & \text{Adj}(T_n) * \left[\text{Adj}(\tilde{T}_{n-p} \Delta \cdots \Delta \tilde{T}_{n-1})_{|T_n * X} * \left(\tilde{c}_{n-p-1} |_{(\tilde{T}_{n-p} \Delta \cdots \Delta \tilde{T}_{n-1}) * X} \right)_{|T_n * X} \right] \\ = & \text{Adj}((\tilde{T}_{n-p} \Delta \cdots \Delta \tilde{T}_{n-1})_{|T_n * X} * T_n) * \tilde{c}_{n-p-1} |_{((\tilde{T}_{n-p} \Delta \cdots \Delta \tilde{T}_{n-1})_{|T_n * X}) * T_n * X} \\ = & \text{Adj}(\tilde{T}_{n-p} \Delta \cdots \Delta \tilde{T}_{n-1} \Delta T_n) * \tilde{c}_{n-p-1} |_{(\tilde{T}_{n-p} \Delta \cdots \Delta \tilde{T}_{n-1} \Delta T_n) * X} \end{aligned}$$

□

Example 1.6 Consider the polynomial map $F := X + H : \mathbb{C}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^4$ where H equals

$$\begin{pmatrix} -X_2X_4^2 - e_4X_3^2X_4 - 2\frac{m_4}{g_4}X_2X_3X_4 - g_4X_1X_3X_4 - k_4X_3^3 - \frac{m_4^2}{g_4^2}X_2X_3^2 - m_4X_1X_3^2 \\ -X_3X_4^2 - e_3X_3^2X_4 + g_4X_2X_3X_4 - k_3X_3^3 + m_4X_2X_3^2 + g_4^2X_1X_3^2 \\ -\frac{1}{3}X_4^3 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $e_3, k_3, e_4, g_4, k_4, m_4 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $g_4 \neq 0$. This F is invertible. In fact if we take $P = P^{-1} = (X_4, X_3, X_2, X_1)$, we have that $PF P$ is one of the eight representatives of the cubic homogeneous maps in dimension four as given by the second author in [6], also published in [4, Theorem 2.10].

Now consider the following element (T, c) of $\mathcal{D}_4(\mathbb{C})$ where

$$T = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ g_4^2X_3 & g_4X_4 + m_4X_3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

and

$$c = \left(\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{g_4^2}X_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -X_3^2(e_4X_4 + k_4X_3) \\ -X_3X_4^2 - e_3X_4X_3^2 - k_3X_3^3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -\frac{1}{3}X_4^3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

Our claim is that

$$H = \sum_{p=0}^2 E_{4,p}(T, c) + c_4.$$

To prove this we will compute $E_{4,0}$, $E_{4,1}$ and $E_{4,2}$ by the method of proposition 1.5. Note that $c_4 = 0$. Since $T_4 = \tilde{T}_3 = I_4$, $E_{4,0}$ and $E_{4,1}$ are easy:

$$E_{4,0} = \text{Adj}(T_4) * \tilde{c}_3|_{T_4 * X} = \tilde{c}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{-1}{3}X_4^3 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$E_{4,1} = \text{Adj}(\tilde{T}_3 \Delta T_4) * \tilde{c}_2|_{(\tilde{T}_3 \Delta T_4) * X} = \tilde{c}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -X_3^2(e_4 X_4 + k_4 X_3) \\ -X_3 X_4^2 - e_3 X_4 X_3^2 - k_3 X_3^3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Before we compute $E_{4,2}$ we present the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}_2 \Delta \tilde{T}_3 \Delta T_4 &= \tilde{T}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ g_4^2 X_3 & g_4 X_4 + m_4 X_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \text{Adj}(\tilde{T}_2 \Delta \tilde{T}_3 \Delta T_4) &= \begin{pmatrix} g_4 X_4 + m_4 X_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -g_4^2 X_3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g_4 X_4 + m_4 X_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & g_4 X_4 + m_4 X_3 \end{pmatrix} \\ (\tilde{T}_2 \Delta \tilde{T}_3 \Delta T_4) * X &= \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ g_4^2 X_1 X_3 + g_4 X_2 X_4 + m_4 X_2 X_3 \\ X_3 \\ X_4 \end{pmatrix} \\ \tilde{c}_1|_{(\tilde{T}_2 \Delta \tilde{T}_3 \Delta T_4) * X} &= \begin{pmatrix} -X_1 X_3 - \frac{1}{g_4} X_2 X_4 - \frac{m_4}{g_4^2} X_2 X_3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and finally

$$\begin{aligned} E_{4,2} &= \text{Adj}(\tilde{T}_2 \Delta \tilde{T}_3 \Delta T_4) * \tilde{c}_1|_{(\tilde{T}_2 \Delta \tilde{T}_3 \Delta T_4) * X} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -\left(X_4 + \frac{m_4}{g_4} X_3\right) \left(g_4 X_1 X_3 + X_2 X_4 + \frac{m_4}{g_4} X_2 X_3\right) \\ X_3 (g_4^2 X_1 X_3 + g_4 X_2 X_4 + m_4 X_2 X_3) \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that $H = E_{4,0} + E_{4,1} + E_{4,2} + c_4$, which was our claim.

2 Nice derivations

Let $B := A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a finitely generated A -algebra and D a subset of $\text{Der}_A(B)$. By B^D we denote the set of all $b \in B$ such that $d(b) = 0$ for all $d \in D$.

Definition 2.1 Let $D \subset \text{Der}_A(B)$ a finite subset and $\tau \in \text{Der}_A(B)$.

1. We say that τ is *derived from D in at most one step* if τ is of the form $\tau = \sum_{d \in D} b_d d$, where $b_d \in B^D$ for all $d \in D$.
2. Let $m \geq 2$. We say that τ is *derived from D in at most m steps* if there exists a sequence of finite subsets

$$D = D_0, D_1, D_2, \dots, D_m$$

of $\text{Der}_A(B)$ such that $\tau \in D_m$ and all elements of D_i are derived from D_{i-1} in at most one step, for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. If furthermore the elements of D satisfy $d_1 d_2(x_i) = 0$ for all $d_1, d_2 \in D$ and all i , then τ is called *nice of order $\leq m$* , with respect to x_1, \dots, x_n and D .

Proposition 2.2 *Notations as in definition 2.1. If $d_1 d_2(x_i) = 0$ for all $d_1, d_2 \in D$ and all i , then $d_1 d_2(x_i) = 0$ for all $d_1, d_2 \in D_m$ and all i . In particular $d^2(x_i) = 0$ for every nice derivation.*

Proof. We use induction on m . The case $m = 0$ is obvious since $D_0 = D$. Now let $m \geq 1$. Then $d_1 = \sum_{d \in D_{m-1}} b_d d$, $d_2 = \sum_{d' \in D_{m-1}} b'_{d'} d'$ with $b_d, b'_{d'} \in B^{D_{m-1}}$. Then

$$d_1 d_2(x_i) = \sum_{d, d'} b_d b'_{d'} d d'(x_i) + \sum_{d, d'} b_d b'_{d'} d d'(x_i). \quad (2)$$

Now observe that $d(b'_{d'}) = 0$ since $b'_{d'} \in B^{D_{m-1}}$ and $d \in D_{m-1}$. Finally the induction hypothesis gives $dd'(x_i) = 0$ for all $d, d' \in D_{m-1}$ and all i , so (2) implies $d_1 d_2(x_i) = 0$. \square

We demonstrate these aspects by the so-called Winkelmann derivation. See [10].

Example 2.3 Let $\tau = (1 + X_4 X_2 - X_5 X_3) \partial_{X_1} + X_5 \partial_{X_2} + X_4 \partial_{X_3}$, a derivation on $B := A[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5]$. Let $D = \{\partial_{X_1}, \partial_{X_2}, \partial_{X_3}\}$. Then τ is nice of order two with respect to X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5 and D . To show that this is true, we present a sequence of finite subsets of $\text{Der}_A(B)$,

$$D = D_0, D_1, D_2$$

Take $D_1 := \{\partial_{X_1}, X_5 \partial_{X_2} + X_4 \partial_{X_3}\}$ and $D_2 := \{\tau\}$. Note that in definition 2.1 it is not demanded that the set D_i of this sequence is a subset of D_{i+1} . The only demand is that each D_i is a finite subset of $\text{Der}_A(B)$. Since $X_4, X_5 \in B^D$ it follows immediately that ∂_{X_1} and $X_5 \partial_{X_2} + X_4 \partial_{X_3}$ are derived from D in one step. And from $1 + X_4 X_2 - X_5 X_3 \in B^{D_1}$ it follows that τ is derived from D_1 in one step. Obviously we have $d_1 d_2(X_i) = 0$ for all $d_1, d_2 \in D$ and hence with proposition 2.2 also $\tau^2(X_i) = 0$.

3 Derivations associated to polynomial maps

The main aim of this section is to show that for each $0 \leq p \leq n-2$ the polynomial map $X + E_{n,p}(T, c)$ (where $(T, c) \in \mathcal{D}_n(A)$) is of the form $\exp(d)$, for some nice A -derivation d of $A[X]$. Observe that d is locally nilpotent if d is nice with respect to X_1, \dots, X_n since $d^2(X_i) = 0$ for all i , by proposition 2.2.

In order to prove this result (see theorem 3.3), we need to generalise some of the notions of section 1 to arbitrary finitely generated A -algebras. So let $B := A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a finitely generated A -algebra and $\varphi : A[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow B$ the A -ringhomomorphism defined by $\varphi(X_i) = x_i$ for all i . For each $p, q \geq 1$ consider the natural extension

$$\varphi : M_{p,q}(A[X_1, \dots, X_n]) \rightarrow M_{p,q}(B).$$

Then for each $(T, c) \in \mathcal{D}_n(A)$ we define

$$E_{n,p}(T, c)(x) := \varphi(E_{n,p}(T, c)) \in B^n.$$

Now let $(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n)$ be an n -tuple of A -derivations of B . To each vector $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n)^t \in B^n$ we associate the following A -derivation of B :

$$D(b; \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n) := b_1 \partial_1 + \dots + b_n \partial_n \quad (= b^t * \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1 \\ \vdots \\ \partial_n \end{pmatrix}).$$

To formulate the next lemma we need some more notations: let $(T, c) \in \mathcal{D}_n(A)$. Put

$$\begin{aligned} (x'_1, \dots, x'_n)^t &:= T_n * (x_1, \dots, x_n)^t \\ (\partial'_1, \dots, \partial'_n) &:= (\text{Adj}(T_n))^t * (\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n)^t \\ x'' &:= (x'_1, \dots, x'_{n-1}) \\ (T'', c'') &:= (T'(X_n = x'_n), c'(X_n = x'_n)) \in \mathcal{D}_{n-1}(A[x'_n]) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.1 *Let $n \geq 3$ and $1 \leq p \leq n-2$. Then*

$$D(E_{n,p}(T, c)(x); \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n) = D(E_{n-1,p-1}(T'', c'')(x''); \partial'_1, \dots, \partial'_{n-1}).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} & D(E_{n,p}(T, c)(x); \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n) \\ &= (E_{n,p}(T, c)(x))^t * \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1 \\ \vdots \\ \partial_n \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \left((E_{n-1,p-1}(T', c')|_{T_n * x})^t \quad 0 \right) * (\text{Adj}(T_n))^t * \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1 \\ \vdots \\ \partial_n \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left((E_{n-1,p-1}(T'', c'')(x''))^t \quad 0 \right) * \begin{pmatrix} \partial'_1 \\ \vdots \\ \partial'_n \end{pmatrix} \\
&= D(E_{n-1,p-1}(T'', c'')(x''); \partial'_1, \dots, \partial'_{n-1})
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.2 *Notations as above. Let $a \in A$ and let $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n$ be A -derivations of B such that $\partial_i(x_j) = a\delta_{ij}$ for all i, j . Then*

$$\partial'_i(x'_j) = a \det(T_n) \delta_{ij}$$

for all i, j .

Proof. Denote the i -th column of $\text{Adj}(T_n)$ by $(t_{1i}^*, \dots, t_{ni}^*)^t$ and the j -th row of T_n by (t_{j1}, \dots, t_{jn}) . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial'_i(x'_j) &= \left(\sum_{s=1}^n t_{si}^* \partial_s \right) \left(\sum_{s=1}^n t_{js} x_s \right) \\
&= \sum_{s=1}^n a t_{si}^* t_{js} \\
&= a (T_n * \text{Adj}(T_n))_{ji} \\
&= a \det(T_n) \delta_{ij}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Now we are able to prove:

Theorem 3.3 *Let $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n$ be A -derivations on $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that there exists an element $a \in A$ such that $\partial_i(x_j) = a\delta_{ij}$ for all i, j . Let $(T, c) \in \mathcal{D}_n(A)$. Then the A -derivation $d := D(E_{n,p}(T, c)(x); \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n)$ is nice with respect to x_1, \dots, x_n and $D_0 := \{\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n\}$, for all $n \geq 2$ and all $0 \leq p \leq n-2$.*

Proof.

1. The hypothesis on the ∂_i imply that $dd'(x_i) = 0$ for all $d, d' \in D_0$ and all i .
2. First we consider the case $p = 0$. Then

$$E_{n,0}(T, c) = \text{Adj}(T_n) * \tilde{c}_{n-1} \mid_{T_n * X}.$$

So

$$d = (\tilde{c}_{n-1} \mid_{T_n * X})^t * (\text{Adj}(T_n))^t * \begin{pmatrix} \partial_1 \\ \vdots \\ \partial_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Write $\tilde{c}_{n-1}^t = (\gamma_1(X_n), \dots, \gamma_{n-1}(X_n), 0)$. Then the definition of x'_n and the ∂'_j imply that

$$d = (\gamma_1(x'_n), \dots, \gamma_{n-1}(x'_n), 0) * (\partial'_1, \dots, \partial'_n)^t = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \gamma_i(x'_n) \partial'_i \quad (3)$$

Put $D_1 := \{\partial'_1, \dots, \partial'_{n-1}\}$ and observe that $D_1 \subset \text{Der}_A(B)$ and that each element of D_1 is derived from D_0 in at most one step. Finally since $\partial'_i(x'_n) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ (by lemma 3.2) we get that $\gamma_i(x'_n) \in B^{D_1}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. So (3) implies that d is derived from D_1 in at most one step. Consequently d is derived from D_0 in at most two steps. So d is nice with respect to x_1, \dots, x_n and D_0 by case 1.

3. Now we prove the theorem by induction on n . If $n = 2$, then $p = 0$ and we are in case 2. So let $n \geq 3$. By case 2 we may assume that $p \geq 1$. Then by lemma 3.1 we have

$$d = D(E_{n-1,p-1}(T'', c'')(x''); \partial'_1, \dots, \partial'_{n-1})$$

with $(T'', c'') \in D_{n-1}(A[x'_n])$. By lemma 3.2 we can apply the induction hypothesis to the ring $A[x'_n]$ and the $(n-1)$ -tuple of $A[x'_n]$ -derivations $\partial'_1, \dots, \partial'_{n-1}$ on the $A[x'_n]$ -algebra $B' := A[x'_n][x'_1, \dots, x'_{n-1}]$. So the $A[x'_n]$ -derivation d on B' is nice with respect to $D'_0 := \{\partial'_1, \dots, \partial'_{n-1}\}$ and x'_1, \dots, x'_{n-1} . So there exists a sequence

$$D'_0, D'_1, \dots, D'_m$$

of finite subsets of $\text{Der}_{A[x'_n]}(B')$ such that $d \in D'_m$ and D'_i is derived from D'_{i-1} in at most one step for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. Now observe that $D'_0 \subset \text{Der}_A(B)$ and that $B' \subset B$ since by definition obviously $x'_i \in B$ for all i . Consequently if d' is an $A[x'_n]$ -derivation of B' derived from D'_0 in at most one step, then $d' \in \text{Der}_A(B)$. Hence $D'_1 \subset \text{Der}_A(B)$. Arguing in a similar way we conclude by induction on i that $D'_i \subset \text{Der}_A(B)$ for all $0 \leq i \leq m$. Since as remarked in case 2 above, all elements of D'_0 ($= D_1$ in case 2) are derived from D_0 in at most one step we deduce that d is derived from D_0 in at most $m+1$ steps. Just define $D_i := D'_{i-1}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m+1$. Hence d is nice with respect to x_1, \dots, x_n and D_0 by 1. □

Corollary 3.4 *Let $(T, c) \in \mathcal{D}_n(A)$ and $0 \leq p \leq n-2$. Put*

$$D := D\left(E_{n,p}(T, c); \frac{\partial}{\partial X_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial X_n}\right).$$

Then D is nice with respect to X_1, \dots, X_n and $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial X_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial X_n}\}$. Furthermore we have $\exp(D) = X + E_{n,p}(T, c)$ and the inverse map is given by $\exp(-D) = X - E_{n,p}(T, c)$.

Proof. The first part is an immediate consequence of theorem 3.3. Furthermore $D^2(X_i) = 0$ by proposition 2.2. So $\exp(D)(X) = X + E_{n,p}(T, c)$ and the inverse map is given by $\exp(-D)(X) = X - E_{n,p}(T, c)$. □

4 The main theorem

In this section we show that for every $H \in \mathcal{H}_n(A)$ the polynomial map $F = X + H$ is a product of n polynomial automorphisms of the form $\exp(D)$, where each D is a nice derivation on $A[X]$. More precisely

Theorem 4.1 *Let $F = X + H$, where $H = \sum_{p=0}^{n-2} E_{n,p}(T, c) + c_n$, for some $(T, c) \in \mathcal{D}_n(A)$. Then*

$$F = \exp\left(D\left(c_n; \frac{\partial}{\partial X_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial X_n}\right)\right) \prod_{p=0}^{n-2} \exp\left(D\left(E_{n,p}(T, c); \frac{\partial}{\partial X_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial X_n}\right)\right).$$

Proof. Observe that

$$\exp\left(-D\left(c_n; \frac{\partial}{\partial X_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial X_n}\right)\right) \circ F = \sum_{p=0}^{n-2} E_{n,p}(T, c).$$

So the case $n = 2$ follows from corollary 3.4. Hence we may assume that $n \geq 3$. Now theorem 4.1 follows directly from proposition 4.2 below and corollary 3.4. \square

Proposition 4.2 *Let $n \geq 3$, $0 \leq p \leq n - 3$ and $(T, c) \in \mathcal{D}_n(A)$. Then*

$$\exp(-D(E_{n,p}(T, c))) \circ \left(X + \sum_{q=p}^{n-2} E_{n,q}(T, c)\right) = X + \sum_{q=p+1}^{n-2} E_{n,q}(T, c).$$

Proof. Put $G := \exp(-D(E_{n,p}(T, c)))$. So $G = X - E_{n,p}(T, c)$ (by corollary 3.4). Hence if we put

$$U := \tilde{T}_{n-p} \Delta \cdots \Delta \tilde{T}_{n-1} \Delta T_n$$

then by proposition 1.4 we get

$$G = X - \text{Adj}(U) * \tilde{c}_{n-p-1} |_{U * X}.$$

So if we put

$$f := X + \sum_{q=p}^{n-2} E_{n,q}(T, c)$$

then

$$G \circ f = f - \text{Adj}(U(f)) * \tilde{c}_{n-p-1} |_{U(f) * f}.$$

Since $U(f) = f$ (by corollary 4.4 below, with $j = 0$) we get

$$G \circ f = f - \text{Adj}(U) * \tilde{c}_{n-p-1} |_{U * f}.$$

Now observe that each component of \tilde{c}_{n-p-1} belongs to $A[X_{n-p}, \dots, X_n]$ and that for each $i \geq n - p$ $(U * f)_i = (U * X)_i$ (by lemma 4.3 below). So $\tilde{c}_{n-p-1} |_{U * f} = \tilde{c}_{n-p-1} |_{U * X}$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} G \circ f &= f - \text{Adj}(U) * \tilde{c}_{n-p-1} |_{U * X} \\ &= f - E_{n,p}(T, c) \end{aligned}$$

(by proposition 1.4). \square

Lemma 4.3 *Let $n \geq 3$, $0 \leq p \leq n - 2$, $0 \leq j \leq p$ and $(T, c) \in \mathcal{D}_n(A)$. Put $f := X + \sum_{q=p}^{n-2} E_{n,q}(T, c)$. Then*

$$[(\tilde{T}_{n-p+j} \Delta \cdots \Delta \tilde{T}_{n-1} \Delta T_n) * f]_i = [(\tilde{T}_{n-p+j} \Delta \cdots \Delta \tilde{T}_{n-1} \Delta T_n) * X]_i$$

for all $i \geq n - p + j$.

Proof. Put $U := \tilde{T}_{n-p+j} \Delta \cdots \Delta \tilde{T}_{n-1} \Delta T_n$. It suffices to show that for each $q \geq p$

$$[U * E_{n,q}(T, c)]_i = 0 \quad (4)$$

for all $i \geq n - p + j$. So let $q \geq p$, then $q \geq p - j$.

1. We first treat the case that $q = p - j$. Then $j = 0$ and $q = p$. Consequently $U = \tilde{T}_{n-p} \Delta \cdots \Delta \tilde{T}_{n-1} \Delta T_n$, $E_{n,q}(T, c) = E_{n,p}(T, c)$ and hence by proposition 1.4

$$\begin{aligned} U * E_{n,q}(T, c) &= U * \text{Adj}(U) * \tilde{c}_{n-p-1} |_{U * X} \\ &= \det(U) * \tilde{c}_{n-p-1} |_{U * X} \end{aligned}$$

Since the last $p + 1$ coordinates of \tilde{c}_{n-p-1} are zero, we obtain that

$$[U * E_{n,q}(T, c)]_i = 0$$

for all $i \geq n - p$, which proves the case that $q = p - j$.

2. Now assume that $q \geq p - j + 1$. So $n - q \leq n - p + j - 1$. Put $V := \tilde{T}_{n-q} \Delta \cdots \Delta \tilde{T}_{n-p+j-1}$. Then by proposition 1.4 we can write

$$\begin{aligned} E_{n,q}(T, c) &= \text{Adj}(V \Delta U) * \tilde{c}_{n-q-1} |_{(V \Delta U) * X} \\ &= \text{Adj}(V |_{U * X} * U) * \tilde{c}_{n-q-1} |_{(V \Delta U) * X} \\ &= \text{Adj}(U) * \text{Adj}(V |_{U * X}) * \tilde{c}_{n-q-1} |_{(V \Delta U) * X} \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$U * E_{n,q}(T, c) = \det(U) * \text{Adj}(V |_{U * X}) * \tilde{c}_{n-q-1} |_{(V \Delta U) * X} \quad (5)$$

Note that V , and hence $V |_{U * X}$, is of the form \tilde{B} for some $B \in M_{n-p+j-1}(A[X])$. Furthermore $(\tilde{c}_{n-q-1})_i = 0$ if $i \geq n - q$ which implies that $(\tilde{c}_{n-q-1} |_{(V \Delta U) * X})_i = 0$ if $i \geq n - p + j$ (since $n - p + j > n - q$). Now the desired result (4) follows from (5). □

Corollary 4.4 *Notations as in lemma 4.3. Then*

$$(\tilde{T}_{n-p+j} \Delta \cdots \Delta \tilde{T}_{n-1} \Delta T_n)(f) = \tilde{T}_{n-p+j} \Delta \cdots \Delta \tilde{T}_{n-1} \Delta T_n.$$

Proof. By induction on $N := p - j$. If $N = 0$ the result is obvious. So let $N \geq 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & (\tilde{T}_{n-p+j} \Delta \cdots \Delta \tilde{T}_{n-1} \Delta T_n)(f) \\ &= \tilde{T}_{n-p+j} |(\tilde{T}_{n-p+j+1} \Delta \cdots \Delta \tilde{T}_{n-1} \Delta T_n)(f) * f * (\tilde{T}_{n-p+j+1} \Delta \cdots \Delta \tilde{T}_{n-1} \Delta T_n)(f) \\ &= \tilde{T}_{n-p+j} |(\tilde{T}_{n-p+j+1} \Delta \cdots \Delta \tilde{T}_{n-1} \Delta T_n) * f * (\tilde{T}_{n-p+j+1} \Delta \cdots \Delta \tilde{T}_{n-1} \Delta T_n)(f) \end{aligned}$$

by the induction hypothesis. Finally observe that the matrix elements of \tilde{T}_{n-p+j} depend only on $X_{n-p+j+1}, \dots, X_n$. The result follows immediately from lemma 4.3 (with $j + 1$ instead of j). \square

5 Stably tameness

With theorem 4.1 we are now able to prove the stably tame generators conjecture for all maps in our class $\mathcal{H}_n(A)$. And will also show that this result is ‘sharp’: we give an example of an element of our class which is not tame, so in general we cannot get a better result than this stable tameness.

First let us recall the conjecture (it was already mentioned in [1], [2], [3], [4] and [7]):

Conjecture 5.1 *For every invertible polynomial map $F : k^n \rightarrow k^n$ over a field k there exist t_1, \dots, t_m such that*

$$F^{[m]} = (F, t_1, \dots, t_m) : k^{n+m} \rightarrow k^{n+m}$$

is tame, i.e. F is stably tame.

Theorem 5.2 *Let $F = X + H$ with $H \in \mathcal{H}_n(A)$. Then F is stably tame.*

To do this we use the following result due to Martha Smith in [9]:

Proposition 5.3 *Let D be a locally nilpotent derivation of $A[X]$. Let $a \in \ker(D)$. Extend D to $A[X][t]$ by setting $D(t) = 0$. Note that tD is locally nilpotent. Define $\rho \in \text{Aut}_A A[X][t]$ by $\rho(X_i) = X_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ and $\rho(t) = t + a$. Then*

$$(\exp(aD), t) = \rho^{-1} \exp(-tD) \rho \exp(tD).$$

Corollary 5.4 *Let D, a be as in proposition 5.3. If D is conjugate by a tame automorphism to a triangular derivation, then $(\exp(aD), t)$ is tame.*

Lemma 5.5 *Let τ be a nice derivation of order m with respect to X_1, \dots, X_n and $D := \{\frac{\partial}{\partial X_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial X_n}\}$ on $A[X]$. Then $\exp(a\tau)$ is stably tame for all $a \in \ker(\tau)$.*

Proof. We use induction on m . Consider the case that $m = 1$. Then $\tau = \sum_{d \in D} b_d d$ with $b_d \in A[X]^D = \cap_{d \in D} \ker(d) = A$. And hence $\tau(X_i) \in A$ and clearly τ is on triangular form. So now we can apply corollary 5.4 and find that $\exp(a\tau)$ is stably tame.

Now consider the case $m > 1$. We may assume that for all nice derivations $\sigma \in \text{Der}_A(A[X])$ of order $m - 1$ with respect to D and X_1, \dots, X_n and for any commutative ring A we have that $\exp(a\sigma)$ is stably tame for all $a \in \ker(\sigma)$. Let τ be nice of order m . Define ρ and extend τ to $A[X][t]$ as in proposition 5.3 (in fact we extend all derivations of D_i to $A[X][t]$ in this way). Now from

$$(\exp(a\tau), t) = \rho^{-1} \exp(-t\tau) \rho \exp(t\tau)$$

it follows that it suffices to see that $\exp(t\tau)$ is stably tame. Now we see that $t\tau = \sum_{d \in D_{m-1}} tb_d d$ with $tb_d \in A[X][t]^{D_{m-1}}$. But from this it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(t\tau) &= \exp\left(\sum_{d \in D_{m-1}} tb_d d\right) \\ &= \prod_{d \in D_{m-1}} \exp(tb_d d) \end{aligned}$$

This last equation follows from proposition 1.5. Obviously it suffices to prove that each $\exp(tb_d d)$ is stably tame to conclude that $\exp(t\tau)$ is stably tame. But d is a nice derivation of order $m - 1$, $tb_d \in \ker(d)$ and hence we can apply the induction hypothesis to the ring $A[t]$ and find that $\exp(t\tau)$ is stably tame and hence $\exp(a\tau)$ is stably tame. \square

Proof of theorem 5.2. Now if we look at theorem 4.1 we see that each $F = X + H$ with $H \in \mathcal{H}_n(A)$ can be written as the product of a finite number of $\exp(a_i D_i)$'s where each D_i is a nice derivation with respect to X_1, \dots, X_n and $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial X_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial X_n}\}$ and $a_i \in \ker(D_i)$. Applying lemma 5.5 n times gives us the desired result: F is stably tame. \square

Remark 5.6 Note that we don't give an indication of the value of m in conjecture 5.1. As can be seen from the proof above, this m can be very high. At the highest level we have $n \exp(a_i D_i)$'s, but each of these factors can give rise to a great number of extra variables, depending on the 'order of niceness' of each D_i .

To conclude this paper we show that in general the automorphisms $F = X + H$ with $H \in \mathcal{H}_2(A)$ need not be tame. Actually, this idea was already presented by Nagata in [8].

Example 5.7 Let A be a domain, but not a principal ideal domain. Let $a, b \in A$ such that $Aa + Ab$ is not a principal ideal. Let $f(T) \in A[T]$ with $\deg(f) \geq 2$ and let $F = X + H$ with

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} bf(aX_1 + bX_2) \\ -af(aX_1 + bX_2) \end{pmatrix}$$

Since $H \in \mathcal{H}_2(A)$ F is an automorphism of $A[X_1, X_2]$. However, it is shown in [8] that F is not tame.

References

- [1] H. Bass, *A non-triangular action of G_a on A^3* , J. of Pure and Applied Algebra **33** (1984), 1–5.
- [2] L.M. Drużkowski, *The Jacobian Conjecture*, preprint 492, Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, IMPAN, Śniadeckich 8, P.O. Box 137, 00-950 Warsaw, Poland, 1991.
- [3] A.R.P. van den Essen, *Locally finite and locally nilpotent derivations with applications to polynomial flows and polynomial morphisms*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **116** (1992), no. 3, 861–871.
- [4] A.R.P. van den Essen, *Seven lectures on polynomial automorphisms*, Automorphisms of Affine Spaces (Curaçao) (A.R.P. van den Essen, ed.), Caribbean Mathematics Foundation, Kluwer Academic Publishers, July 4–8 1994, 1994, Proceedings of the conference ‘Invertible Polynomial maps’, pp. 3–40.
- [5] A.R.P. van den Essen and E.-M.G.M. Hubbers, *A new class of invertible polynomial maps*, J. of Algebra **187** (1997), 214–226.
- [6] E.-M.G.M. Hubbers, *The Jacobian Conjecture: Cubic Homogeneous Maps in Dimension Four*, Master’s thesis, University of Nijmegen, Toernooiveld, 6525 ED Nijmegen, The Netherlands, February 17 1994, directed by A.R.P. van den Essen.
- [7] A. Joseph, *A wild automorphism of $U(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$* , Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **80** (1976), 61–65.
- [8] M. Nagata, *On the automorphism group of $k[X, Y]$* , Kyoto Univ. Lectures in Math. 5, Kyoto University, Kinokuniya – Tokyo, 1972.
- [9] M.K. Smith, *Stably tame automorphisms*, J. of Pure and Applied Algebra **58** (1989), 209–212.
- [10] J. Winkelmann, *On free holomorphic \mathbf{C} -actions on \mathbf{C}^n and homogeneous Stein manifolds*, Math. Ann. **286** (1990), 593–612.