

Introduction to JML

Erik Poll

Radboud University Nijmegen

Overview

- **The specification language JML**

Only a subset, but this subset does cover the most used features of the language.

- **Some of the tools for JML, in particular**

1. **runtime assertion checking using jmlc/jmlrac**

2. **extended static checking using ESC/Java2**

- **Demo of ESC/Java2**

JML by Gary Leavens et al.

Formal specification language for Java

- to specify behaviour of Java classes
- to record design & implementation decisions

by adding **assertions** to Java source code, eg

- **preconditions**
- **postconditions**
- **invariants**

as in Eiffel (Design by Contract), but more expressive.

Goal: JML should be easy to use for any Java programmer.

To make JML easy to use & understand:

- Properties specified as **comments in .java source file**, between `/*@ ... @*/`, or after `//@` (or in a separate file, if you don't have the source code, eg. of some API)
- Properties are specified **in Java syntax**, namely as Java boolean expressions,
 - extended with a few operators (`\old`, `\forall`, `\result`, ...).
 - using a few keywords (`requires`, `ensures`, `invariant`, `pure`, `non_null`, ...)

Example JML specification

```
public class IntegerSet {  
    ...  
    byte[] a; /* The array a is sorted */  
  
    ...
```

Example JML specification

```
public class IntegerSet {  
    ...  
    byte[] a; /* The array a is sorted */  
    /*@ invariant  
        (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < a.length-1;  
            a[i] < a[i+1]);  
    @*/  
    ...  
}
```

Informal vs Formal

The informal comment “**The array a is sorted**” and formal JML invariant

```
(\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < a.length-1;  
    a[i] < a[i+1])
```

document the same property, but

- JML spec has a **precise meaning**. (Eg. **<** not **<=**)
- Precise syntax & semantics allows **tool support**:
 - runtime assertion checking: executing code and **testing** all assertions *for a given set of inputs*
 - verification: **proving** that assertions are never violated, *for all possible inputs*

The JML specification language

Running example

```
public class BankAccount {  
    final static int MAX_BALANCE = 1000;  
    int balance;  
  
    int debit(int amount) {  
        balance = balance - amount;  
        return balance; }  
    int credit(int amount) {  
        balance = balance + amount;  
        return balance; }  
    public int getBalance() { return balance; }  
    ...  
}
```

requires

Pre-condition for method can be specified using **requires**:

```
/*@ requires amount >= 0;
   @*/
public int debit(int amount) {
    ...
}
```

Anyone calling `debit` has to **guarantee** the pre-condition.

ensures

Post-condition for method can be specified using **ensures**:

```
/*@ requires amount >= 0;
   ensures  balance == \old(balance)-amount &&
           \result == balance;

   @*/
public int debit(int amount) {
    ...
}
```

Anyone calling `debit` can **assume** postcondition (if method terminates normally, ie. does not throw exception)

`\old(...)` has obvious meaning

Design-by-Contract

Pre- and postcondition define a **contract** between a class and its clients:

- Client must **ensure precondition** and may **assume postcondition**
- Method may **assume precondition** and must **ensure postcondition**

Eg, in the example specs for `debit`, it is the obligation of the client to ensure that `amount` is positive. The `requires` clause makes this **explicit**.

requires, ensures

JML specs can be as strong or as weak as you want.

```
/*@ requires amount >= 0;  
   ensures true;  
  */  
public int debit(int amount) {  
    ...  
}
```

Default postcondition “ensures true” can be omitted.
Idem for default precondition “requires true”.

invariant

Invariants (aka *class invariants*) are properties that must be maintained by all methods, e.g.,

```
public class BankAccount {
    final static int MAX_BAL = 1000;
    int balance;
    /*@ invariant 0 <= balance &&
                               balance <= MAX_BAL;
    @* /
    ...
```

Invariants are implicitly included in all pre- and postconditions.

Invariants must *also* be preserved if exception is thrown!

invariant

Another example, from an implementation of a file system:

```
public class Directory {
private File[] files;
/*@ invariant
    files != null
    &&
    (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < files.length;
        files[i] != null &&
        files[i].getParent() == this
    @* /
```

invariant

- Invariants often document important design decisions.
- Making them **explicit** helps in understanding the code.
- Invariants often lead to pre-conditions:
Eg. in the `BankAccount` example, the precondition `amount <= balance` is needed to preserve the invariant `0 <= balance`

non_null

Many invariants, pre- and postconditions are about references not being `null`. **non_null** is a convenient short-hand for these.

```
public class Directory {  
  
    private /*@ non_null */ File[] files;  
  
    void createSubdir(/*@ non_null */ String name) {  
        ...  
    }  
    Directory /*@ non_null */ getParent() {  
        ...  
    }  
}
```

assert

An **assert** clause specifies a property that should hold at some point in the code, e.g.,

```
if (i <= 0 || j < 0) {  
    ...  
} else if (j < 5) {  
    //@ assert i > 0 && 0 < j && j < 5;  
    ...  
} else {  
    //@ assert i > 0 && j > 5;  
    ...  
}
```

assert

JML keyword `assert` now also in Java (since Java 1.4).

Still, `assert` in JML is more expressive, for example in

```
...  
for (n = 0; n < a.length; n++)  
    if (a[n]==null) break;  
/*@ assert (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < n;  
           a[i] != null);  
@*/
```

signals

Exceptional postconditions can also be specified.

```
/*@ requires amount >= 0;
   ensures true;
   signals (BankAccountException e)
           amount > balance          &&
           balance == \old(balance) &&
           e.getReason() == AMOUNT_TOO_BIG;

   @*/
public int debit(int amount) { ... }
```

The implementation given earlier does not meet this specification.

pure

A method without side-effects is called pure.

```
public /*@ pure @*/ int getBalance(){...}
```

Pure methods – and only pure methods – can be used *in* JML specifications.

assignable

Frame properties limit possible side-effects of methods.

```
/*@   requires amount >= 0;
    assignable balance;
    ensures balance == \old(balance)-amount;
@*/
public int debit(int amount) {
    ...
}
```

E.g., `debit` can *only* assign to the field `balance`.

NB this does *not* follow from the post-condition.

Assignable clauses are only needed to allow modular verification of code, by tools like ESC/Java(2).

Pure methods are assignable `\nothing`.

JML recap

The JML keywords discussed so far:

- `requires`
- `ensures`
- `signals`
- `invariant`
- `non_null`
- `pure`
- `\old`, `code\forall`, `\exists`, `\result`

This is all you need to know to get started!

Tools for JML

Tools for JML

A formal language allows tool support.

1. **Parsing and typechecking**

Typos in JML specs are detected, typos in comments are not.

2. **Runtime assertion checking**

test for violations of assertions **during execution**
with the tool **jmlrac**

3. **Extended static checking ie. automated program verification**

prove that contracts are never violated **at compile-time**
with the tool **ESC/Java2**

4. **Interactive program verification:**

more about that later

Runtime assertion checking

jmlrac compiler by Gary Leavens & Yoonsik Cheon

- translates **JML assertions** into **runtime checks**:
during execution, *all* assertions are tested and any violation of an assertion produces an **Error**.
- **cheap & easy** to do as part of existing testing practice
- **better testing**, because **more properties** are tested, at **more places** in the code

Of course, an assertion violation can be an error in code **or** an error in specification.

The **jmlunit** tool combines jmlrac and **unit testing**.

Runtime assertion checking

jmlrac can generate complicated test-code for free. E.g., for

```
/*@ ...
    signals (Exception)
        balance == \old(balance);
    */
public int debit(int amount) { ... }
```

it will test that if `debit` throws an exception, the balance hasn't changed, and all invariants still hold.

jmlrac even checks `\forall` if the domain of quantification is finite.

Extended static checking

ESC/Java(2) by Rustan Leino et al.

- *tries to prove correctness of specifications, at compile-time, fully automatically*
- ***not complete***: ESC/Java may warn of errors that can not occur, or time-out
- ***not sound***: ESC/Java may miss an error that can occur
- **but finds lots of potential bugs quickly**
- **good at proving absence of runtime exceptions (eg Null-, ArrayIndexOutOfBounds-, ClassCast-) and verifying relatively simple properties.**

Extended static checking vs runtime checking

Important differences:

- ESC/Java2 checks specs at **compile-time**, jmlrac checks specs at **run-time**
- ESC/Java2 **proves** correctness of specs, jmlrac only **tests** correctness of specs.
- ESC/Java2 provides **higher degree of confidence**, but at a **much higher price**.
- Academics mainly interested in ESC/Java2, industrials mainly interested in jmlrac.

Extended static checking vs runtime checking

One of the assertions below is wrong:

```
if (i <= 0 || j < 0) {  
    ...  
} else if (j < 5) {  
    //@ assert i > 0 && 0 < j && j < 5;  
    ...  
} else {  
    //@ assert i > 0 && j > 5;  
    ...  
}
```

Runtime assertion checking *may* detect this with a comprehensive test suite.

ESC/Java2 *will* detect this at compile-time.

More JML tools

- javadoc-style documentation: **jmldoc**
- **Eclipse** plugin
- Other red **verification** tools:
 - **LOOP tool + PVS** (Nijmegen)
 - **JACK** (Gemplus/INRIA)
 - **Krakatoa tool + Coq** (INRIA)

These tools (also) aim at **interactive** verification of complex properties, whereas ESC/Java2 aims at **automatic** verification of relatively simple properties.

- runtime **detection of invariants**: **Daikon** (Michael Ernst, MIT)
- **model-checking** multi-threaded programs: **Bogor** (Kansas State Univ.)

Related Work

- **jContract** tool for Java by **Parasoft**
- **Spec#** for **C#** by **Microsoft**
- **SparkAda** - subset of Ada by **Praxis Critical Systems Ltd.**
- **OCL** specification language for **UML**

Conclusions

- **JML (relatively) easy to use and understand, using familiar syntax**
- **JML specs added to source code, so**
 - **easy to use incrementally**
 - **no need to construct a separate model**
 - **but... maybe lower level than other formal models**

Some papers about (using) JML

- **Introduction to JML language:**
Design by Contract with JML by **Leavens and Cheon**
- **An overview of JML tools and applications** by **lots of people**
- **Experience report about using JML:**
Formal specification of the Java Card API in JML: the APDU class by **Poll, van den Berg, and Jacobs**
- **Experience report about using ESC/Java:**
Formal specification of Gemplus's electronic purse case study by **Cataño and Huisman**