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## overview

from propositional to predicate logic

first order propositional logic $\longleftrightarrow$ simply typed lambda calculus type theory called $\lambda \rightarrow$<br>first order predicate logic $\longleftrightarrow$ type theory called $\lambda P$<br>second order propositional logic $\longleftrightarrow$ type theory called $\lambda 2$<br>inductive types<br>program extraction

applications of logic

- propositional logic
logical circuits
correctness of train track switching
- predicate logic
software correctness 'Hoare logic'
correctness of driverless metro in Paris


## predicate logic

'a logic'

- syntax of
- terms
- formulas
- judgments
- derivation rules


## terms

- $x$
- $f\left(M_{1}, \ldots, M_{n}\right)$
symbols $f$ taken from a fixed finite set of function symbols


## formulas

- $P\left(M_{1}, \ldots, M_{n}\right)$
- T
- $\perp$
- $\neg A$
- $A \rightarrow B$
- $A \wedge B$
- $A \vee B$
- $\forall x . A$
- $\exists x . A$
symbols $P$ taken from a fixed finite set of predicate symbols


## random example

$$
(\forall x \cdot \exists y \cdot P(f(c, y)) \wedge Q(g(g(x)), y)) \rightarrow(\exists z \cdot \forall w \cdot \neg R(z, w))
$$

here the signature is
function symbols $\quad\{f, c, g, \ldots\}$
predicate symbols $\{P, Q, R, \ldots\}$
each symbol has an arity
the rules of predicate logic
introduction rules
$I \top$

rules for $\top$ and $\perp$
$\top$ introduction

$$
\bar{\top} I \top
$$

$\perp$ elimination

$$
\frac{\perp}{A} E \perp
$$

rules for $\neg$
$\neg$ introduction

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[A^{x}\right]} \\
\vdots \\
\frac{\perp}{\neg A} I[x] \neg
\end{gathered}
$$

$\neg$ elimination

rules for $\rightarrow$
$\rightarrow$ introduction

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[A^{x}\right]} \\
\vdots \\
\frac{B}{A \rightarrow B} I[x] \rightarrow
\end{gathered}
$$

$\rightarrow$ elimination

rules for $\wedge$
$\wedge$ introduction

$$
\frac{A \quad B}{A \wedge B} I \wedge
$$

$\wedge$ elimination

$$
\frac{A \wedge B}{A} E l \wedge \quad \frac{A \wedge B}{B} E r \wedge
$$

rules for $\vee$
$\checkmark$ introduction

$$
\frac{A}{A \vee B} I l \vee \quad \frac{B}{A \vee B} I l \vee
$$

$\checkmark$ elimination

| $A \vee B \quad A \rightarrow C$ | $B \rightarrow C$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $C$ |  |

rules for $\forall$
$\forall$ introduction

$$
\frac{A}{\forall x \cdot A} I \forall
$$

variable condition: $x$ not a free variable in any open assumption
$\forall$ elimination

$$
\frac{\forall x \cdot A}{A[x:=M]} E \forall
$$

rules for $\exists$
$\exists$ introduction

$$
\frac{A[x:=M]}{\exists x . A} I \exists
$$

$\exists$ elimination

$$
\frac{\exists x . A \quad \forall x .(A \rightarrow B)}{B} E \exists
$$

variable condition: $x$ not a free variable in $B$
alternative versions of $E \vee$ and $E \exists$
$\checkmark$ elimination

$\exists$ elimination

variable condition: $x$ not a free variable in $B$ or any open assumption
minimal versus intuitionistic versus classical

- minimal predicate logic
just the connectives $\rightarrow$ and $\forall$
- intuitionistic predicate logic
the system just presented
- classical predicate logic
add any of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A \vee \neg A \\
& \neg \neg A \rightarrow A \\
& ((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow A) \rightarrow A \quad \text { (Peirce's law) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## empty domains

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\bar{\top}}{\exists x . \top} I \exists \\
\exists x . \top \\
\text { means } \\
\text { 'there exists an object } x^{\prime}
\end{gathered}
$$

the $I \exists$ rule is not valid when the domain is empty!

## cOq

terms

- X
- f M1 M2 ... Mn
curried function application: not a first order system!
formulas
- P M1 M2 ... Mn
- True
- False
- ~A
- A -> B
- A $\triangle$ B
- A \/ B
- forall x:D, A
- exists x:D, A
tactics

$$
\begin{aligned}
& & I[x] \rightarrow & I \forall \\
& E \rightarrow & E \forall & \text { intro } \\
& E \perp \wedge & E r \wedge & E \vee \\
& & E \exists & \text { elim } \\
& & I \wedge & \text { split } \\
& & I l \vee & \text { left } \\
& & I r \vee & \text { right } \\
& & I \exists & \text { exists } \\
& & I \top & \text { exact } I
\end{aligned}
$$

## examples

## example 1

$$
(\forall x . P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)) \rightarrow(\forall x . P(x)) \rightarrow \forall y \cdot Q(y)
$$

example 2

$$
\forall x .(P(x) \rightarrow \neg(\forall y . \neg P(y)))
$$

example 3

$$
(\exists x \cdot P(x) \vee Q(x)) \rightarrow(\exists x \cdot P(x)) \vee(\exists x \cdot Q(x))
$$

## variable conditions

$\forall$ introduction

$$
\frac{A}{\forall x \cdot A} I \forall
$$

variable condition: $x$ not a free variable in any open assumption
$\exists$ elimination

$$
\frac{\exists x . A \quad \forall x \cdot(A \rightarrow B)}{B} E \exists
$$

variable condition: $x$ not a free variable in $B$
example 4: violates the variable condition of $I \forall$

$$
\forall x .(P(x) \rightarrow \forall x . P(x))
$$

example 5: violates the variable condition of $E \exists$

$$
\forall x .((\exists x . P(x)) \rightarrow P(x))
$$

## detour elimination

## detours

often called 'cuts'
introduction rule of a connective directly followed by the
elimination rule of the same connective

## detour elimination for $\rightarrow$


'proof of $B$ using a lemma $A$ '

## detour elimination for $\wedge$

$\begin{array}{ccc}\frac{A \quad B}{A \wedge B} I \wedge \\ A & & \square \wedge\end{array} \quad \rightarrow \quad A$

## detour elimination for $\forall$

$$
\begin{array}{lcc}
\frac{A}{\forall x \cdot A} I \forall \\
A[x:=M] \\
& & \vdots * \\
& & A[x:=M] \\
& \text { * replace } x \text { everywhere by } M
\end{array}
$$

'proof of $A[x:=M]$ from the generalization $A$ '

## decidability

a theorem by Gödel

- propositional logic
provability is decidable
- predicate logic
provability is undecidable
first order provers
- programs that search for proofs in predicate logic

Otter
Bliksem
Vampire
E-SETHEO

- tactics that search for proofs in predicate logic coq: jprover


## the CASC competition

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { CASC } & =\text { CADE ATP System Competition } \\
\text { CADE } & =\text { Conference on Automated Deduction } \\
\text { ATP } & =\text { Automated Theorem Proving }
\end{aligned}
$$

yearly competition of first order provers
this year the winner was: Vampire (solved 180 out of 200 problems)

