

## Type Theory exercises

For the course notes see <http://www.cs.kun.nl/~herman/TT>

### Exercises 6: Dependent Type Theory

Consider the “signature” (context) of first order predicate logic in  $\lambda P$   $\Sigma_{\text{PRED}}$ :

```
prop  : type,
⇒     : prop→prop→prop,
T     : prop→type,
A     : type,
R     : A→A→prop,
f     : A→A,
a     : A,
imp_intr  : Πp,q : prop.(Tp→Tq)→T(p ⇒ q),
imp_el    : Πp,q : prop.T(p ⇒ q)→Tp→Tq,
∀        : (A→prop)→prop,
∀_intr    : ΠP:A→prop.(Πx:A.T(Px))→T(∀P),
∀_elim    : ΠP:A→prop.T(∀P)→Πx:A.T(Px).
```

1. Give a term of the type  $T(\forall(\lambda x:A.(Rxa \Rightarrow Ra(fx))) \rightarrow T(Raa \Rightarrow Ra(fa)))$  in the context  $\Sigma_{\text{PRED}}$ .
2. Give a precise derivation of the correctness of the context

```
prop  : type,
⇒     : prop→prop→prop,
T     : prop→type,
imp_intr  : Πp,q : prop.(Tp→Tq)→T(p ⇒ q)
```

See the typing rules for  $\lambda P$  on the slides.

3. Give a precise derivation of

$X : \text{type}, P : X \rightarrow \text{type}, x : X, Q : (Px) \rightarrow \text{type} \vdash \lambda h:(Px). \lambda y:(Qh). y : \Pi h:(Px). (Qh) \rightarrow (Qh).$