

Operating Systems Security – Assignment 2

Version 1.0 – 2016/2017
Due Date: 25 Nov 2016 (23:59 CET)

Institute for Computing and Information Sciences,
Radboud University, The Netherlands.

1 Play around with the **setuid** (suid) bit

In Week 1 lecture, you were introduced to the **setuid** bit. In this exercise, you will learn how to carry out *privilege escalation* using **suid**.

Login to your (Kali) Linux system as a **non-root** user and download the program **showdate** from <https://www.cs.ru.nl/~vmoonsamy/teaching/ossec2016/showdate> (for 64-bit OS).

Then, change the owner

```
$ sudo chown root:root showdate
```

set the **suid** bit and make it executable

```
$ sudo chmod u+s,a+x showdate
```

Execute the program and verify it prints the date correctly

```
$ ./showdate
```

Fri Nov 18 xx:xx:xx EST 2016

Install the tool **strace**

```
$ sudo apt-get install strace
```

and run it to see system calls used by **showdate**

```
$ strace -f ./showdate
```

Objectives

- a) Find out what the program does internally. What system calls does it use?
- b) Assume the role of a non-privileged attacker. Use the program **showdate** to obtain a root shell.
You can verify if you succeeded by looking at the output of **id**, it should be something like:

```
$ /usr/bin/id
```

uid=0(root) gid=0(root) groups=0(root),27(sudo),1001(test1)

Hand in the exact console commands you used to get this working.
- c) Explain what a developer could do to overcome this issue. What explicit actions should a developer take when writing software that is intended to be used with **setuid-root** to avoid these types of problems?

2 Manually exploiting an application with a stack overflow

The most common buffer overflow is a stack overflow. In a stack overflow, a fixed size array (buffer) is filled using a function that does not validate the size of the array (such as `strcpy`, `gets`, or `scanf`) allowing malicious input to be written past the space allocated for the buffer.

Prerequisites

Login to your (Kali) Linux system as a **non-root** user and compile the program `auth.c`. You can download `auth.c` here: <https://www.cs.ru.nl/~vmoonsamy/teaching/ossec2016/auth.c>

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>
#include <crypt.h>
#include <stdbool.h>
#include <libgen.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <unistd.h>

void checkpass(char* input) {
    char password[256];
    char *hash1, *hash2;
    bool correct = false;

    strcpy(password, input);
    hash1 = crypt(password, "$6$1122334455667788$");
    hash2 = "$6$1122334455667788$vDzpRFs0P1/L0M4/WXWsmv5/eTYlh5xoA"
           "1MoPy512JiBLrAZTNzbL.uWv3Z16XxFUYnFzRIX2kGXF9M133D4h1";

    if (strcmp(hash1,hash2) == 0) {
        correct = true;
    } else {
        printf("ERROR: password incorrect\n");
    }

    if (correct) {
        printf("Starting root shell\n");
        setuid(0);
        setgid(0);
        system("/bin/sh");
    }
}

int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    if (argc < 2) {
        printf("syntax: %s <password>\n", basename(argv[0]));
        return 1;
    }
    checkpass(argv[1]);
    return 0;
}
```

and change the owner and set the **suid** bit with the following commands:

```
$ gcc -O0 -Wall -g -o auth auth.c -lcrypt
$ sudo chown root:root auth
$ sudo chmod u+s auth
```

For this exercise it is convenient to configure your `gdb` debugger environment first. Put the following directives in the file `.gdbinit`, which is located in the home directory of your user (`$HOME`). This can be done by executing the following commands:

```
$ echo "set history save" >> $HOME/.gdbinit
$ echo "set confirm off" >> $HOME/.gdbinit
$ echo "set disassemble-next-line on" >> $HOME/.gdbinit
$ echo "set disassembly-flavor intel" >> $HOME/.gdbinit
```

The `gdb` debugger can be used to analyze each executed instruction of the executable and observe what is happening. To do this, there are two useful commands. The first one is *step instruction* (`si`), which executes the instruction and will step into a sub-function which is triggered by a *call* instruction (note, this also includes library functions). The second one *next instruction* (`ni`) executes regular instructions similar to `si`, however, a *call* instruction is executed as if it was one instruction (so it executes the whole sub-function at once).

To start the **gdb** debugger and let it halt on the entry point of the executable we set a breakpoint on the function *main()* and run **r** the program until it hits the breakpoint. Use the following command to start debugging:

```
$ gdb -q auth -ex "b main" -ex "r"
```

```
Reading symbols from /home/google/test3/auth...done.
Breakpoint 1 at 0x400881: file auth.c, line 34.
warning: no loadable sections found in added symbol-file system-supplied DSO at 0x7ffff7ffa000

Breakpoint 1, main (argc=1, argv=0x7fffffff438) at auth.c:34
34      if (argc < 2) {
=> 0x0000000000400881 <main+15>: 83 7d fc 01      cmp    DWORD PTR [rbp-0x4],0x1
  0x0000000000400885 <main+19>: 7f 28      jg    0x4008af <main+61>
```

(gdb) ni

```
0x0000000000400885      34      if (argc < 2) {
  0x0000000000400881 <main+15>: 83 7d fc 01      cmp    DWORD PTR [rbp-0x4],0x1
=> 0x0000000000400885 <main+19>: 7f 28      jg    0x4008af <main+61>
```

(gdb) ni

```
35      printf("syntax: %s <password>\n", basename(argv[0]));
=> 0x0000000000400887 <main+21>: 48 8b 45 f0      mov    rax,QWORD PTR [rbp-0x10]
  0x000000000040088b <main+25>: 48 8b 00      mov    rax,QWORD PTR [rax]
  0x000000000040088e <main+28>: 48 89 c7      mov    rdi,rax
  0x0000000000400891 <main+31>: e8 fa fd ff ff  call   0x400690 <__xpg_basename@plt>
  0x0000000000400896 <main+36>: 48 89 c6      mov    rsi,rax
  0x0000000000400899 <main+39>: bf 39 0a 40 00  mov    edi,0x400a39
  0x000000000040089e <main+44>: b8 00 00 00 00  mov    eax,0x0
  0x00000000004008a3 <main+49>: e8 a8 fd ff ff  call   0x400650 <printf@plt>
```

The command *examine memory x* shows the program memory, like the first 64 bytes of the stack.

(gdb) x /64bx \$rsp

On a 32-bit machine, use \$esp instead of \$rsp.

```
0x7fffffff340: 0x38      0xe4      0xff      0xff      0xff      0x7f      0x00      0x00
0x7fffffff348: 0x00      0x00      0x00      0x01      0x00      0x00      0x00      0x00
0x7fffffff350: 0x00      0x00      0x00      0x00      0x00      0x00      0x00      0x00
0x7fffffff358: 0xad      0x8e      0x83      0xf7      0xff      0x7f      0x00      0x00
0x7fffffff360: 0x00      0x00      0x00      0x00      0x00      0x00      0x00      0x00
0x7fffffff368: 0x38      0xe4      0xff      0xff      0xff      0x7f      0x00      0x00
0x7fffffff370: 0x00      0x00      0x00      0x00      0x01      0x00      0x00      0x00
0x7fffffff378: 0x72      0x08      0x40      0x00      0x00      0x00      0x00      0x00
```

It also allows you to print variables values, like the pointer **argv[0]** to the executable path string.

(gdb) x /s argv[0]

```
0x7fffffff6b3:  "/home/google/test3/auth"
```

Or the total number of arguments, which is stored in **argc**.

(gdb) x /gx &argc

```
0x7fffffff34c: 0x0000000000000001
```

Note, that pressing **[Enter]** executes the last command in **gdb** another time.

Objectives

- Explain in detail what the program **auth** does, which (internal and external) function calls it triggers and what type of libraries it uses. Explain how the cryptographic operations work and state if you can think of a way to recover the password. Note, that mounting a password recovery attack is **not** part of the assignment.
- The following statement can be used to generate a large string.

```
$ python -c 'print("A"*512)'
```

Execute **auth** with the output of the previous statement as argument and observe the output.

```
$ ./auth $(python -c 'print("A"*512)')
```

Load the program in the debugger with the same arguments.

```
$ gdb -q auth -ex "b main" -ex "r $(python -c 'print("A"*512))"
```

Start debugging and figure out what happens. Explain your analysis in detail and present the list of **gdb** commands you used to analyse the control flow of the executable.

3 Know what your compiler is doing

The specified control flow of an executable should not be altered when different compiler options are used. However, there might be differences in the unspecified behaviour. In this exercise we try to understand what can happen when a different compiler optimization level is applied.

Prerequisites

Recompile the program **auth** with two different optimization levels **-O0** and **-O3**. This time we let **gcc** generate verbose and assembly listings with in-lined source code.

```
$ gcc -g -Wa,-adlhn=auth0.s -O0 -o auth0 auth.c -fverbose-asm -masm=intel -lcrypt
$ gcc -g -Wa,-adlhn=auth3.s -O3 -o auth3 auth.c -fverbose-asm -masm=intel -lcrypt
```

Note, that after re-compilation, you have to set the **suid** bit again.

```
$ sudo chown root:root auth0
$ sudo chmod u+s auth0
$ sudo chown root:root auth3
$ sudo chmod u+s auth3
```

Objectives

- Try to exploit *optimized* build (**auth3**) the same way as explained in Section 2 and report the output.
- Compare the assembly listings **auth0.s** and **auth3.s** and quote the piece of assembly that influences the buffer overflow behaviour. Explain why you think that the compiler changed the control flow.

4 Exploit with use of Return Oriented Programming

The basics of Return Oriented Programming (ROP) is already handled in the Software Security lecture¹ and was discussed in the Week 2 lecture. There are also many well-written tutorials²³⁴⁵⁶⁷ that demonstrate how to mount a buffer overflow attack by using ROP.

This exercise tries to refresh your memory and let you mount a ROP attack on the program **auth**, presented in Section 2 that was compiled with the optimization level **-O3** (which will be performed in Section 5).

Prerequisites

Compile the program **auth** with optimization level **-O3**:

```
$ gcc -O3 -Wall -g -o auth auth.c -lcrypt
$ sudo chown root:root auth
$ sudo chmod u+s auth
```

¹ http://www.cs.ru.nl/E.Poll/ss/slides/2_BufferOverflows.pdf

² <http://insecure.org/stf/smashstack.html>

³ <https://crypto.stanford.edu/~blynn/rop/>

⁴ <http://www.scs.stanford.edu/brop/>

⁵ <http://codearcana.com/posts/2013/05/28/introduction-to-return-oriented-programming-rop.html>

⁶ <http://www.slideshare.net/saumilshah/dive-into-rop-a-quick-introduction-to-return-oriented-programming>

⁷ <http://blog.osom.info/2012/04/return-oriented-programming-rop-exploit.html>

Objectives

a) View the assembly of the binary file with:

```
$ objdump -M intel -S auth
```

```
if (correct) {  
    printf("Starting root shell\n");
```

b) Use the previously recovered offset and put it in the following command by replacing the ##### and execute the command line.

```
$ ./auth $(python -c 'import struct; print("A" * 264 + struct.pack("<Q", 0x#####))')
```

c) Explain what happened and report the output that **gdb** produced when you executed it in the debugger.

5 Protection mechanisms

In this exercise we explore some mitigation techniques that could be used to prevent the previous attacks.

Prerequisites

Recompile **auth** with both optimization levels (**-O0** and **-O3**), but this time we add the directive **-fstack-protector-all**.

```
$ gcc -fstack-protector-all -O0 -Wall -g -o auth0 auth.c -lcrypt  
$ gcc -fstack-protector-all -O3 -Wall -g -o auth3 auth.c -lcrypt
```

Objectives

a) Try to mount any of the previous attacks on both examples (with **-fstack-protector-all**) and write down which combination work and which don't. For each trial that failed, investigate with the assembly listing of the **gdb** debugger why it did not work and explain which steps you took to verify this.

b) Figure out if Address Space Layout Randomization (ASLR) is enabled on your (Kali) Linux machine and explain why it can/cannot help to mitigate the stack problem⁸.

c) Does compilation with compiler flag **-fPIE** protect against this attack?

d) Generate a memory map from the previously compiled binaries with the following command.

```
$ objdump -p auth
```

Locate the **STACK** segment and verify if it is executable or not. Explain why this will help/not help against the previously mounted attacks.

⁸ http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Address_space_layout_randomization